

DCHC Metropolitan Planning Organization

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	19-1	123	Version:	13	Name:		
Туре:	Rep	oort			Status:	Agenda Ready	
File created:	2/14	4/2019			In control:	l: Technical Committee	
On agenda:	10/1	14/2020			Final actio	on: 10/14/2020	
Title:	Alternate Scoring Criteria and SPOT 6 Update (10 minutes) Anne Phillips, LPA Staff						
Sponsors:							
Indexes:							
Code sections:							
	Letter to NCDOT Endorsing Alternative Weights.pdf, 3. 2020-09-23 (19-123) Alternative Weights Options for SPOT 6_Version2.pdf, 4. 2020-08-12 (19-123) SPOT 6 Submittal Changes.pdf, 5. 2020- 07-22 (19-123) SPOT 6 Submittal Changes.pdf, 6. 2020-03-11 (19-123) SPOT 6 Highway Candidate List for Public Review.pdf, 7. 2020-03-11 (19-123) SPOT 6 Transit Candidate List for Public Review.pdf, 8. 2020-03-11 (19-123) SPOT 6 Bike-Ped Candidate List for Public Review.pdf, 9. 2020- 03-11 (19-123) SPOT 6 Rail Candidate List for Public Review.pdf, 10. 2020-02-12 (19-123) SPOT 6 Highway Candidate List for Public Review.pdf, 11. 2020-02-12 (19-123) SPOT 6 Transit Candidate List for Public Review.pdf, 12. 2020-02-12 (19-123) SPOT 6 Bike-Ped Candidate List for Public Review.pdf, 13. 2020-02-12 (19-123) SPOT 6 Rail Candidate List for Public Review.pdf, 14. 2020-01- 22 (19-123) SPOT Highway Preliminary Scores.pdf, 15. 2020-01-22 (19-123) Staff Recommended Draft SPOT Bike-Ped Submittal List.pdf, 16. 2020-01-22 (19-123) Staff Recommended Draft SPOT Highway Submittal List.pdf, 17. 2020-01-22 (19-123) Staff Recommended Draft SPOT Highway Submittal List.pdf, 17. 2020-01-22 (19-123) Staff Recommended Draft SPOT Highway Submittal List.pdf, 17. 2020-01-22 (19-123) Staff Recommended Draft SPOT Highway Submittal List.pdf, 17. 2020-01-22 (19-123) Staff Recommended Draft SPOT Highway Submittal List.pdf, 17. 2020-01-22 (19-123) Staff Recommended Draft SPOT Highway Submittal List.pdf, 17. 2020-01-22 (19-123) Staff Recommended Draft SPOT Fail Submittal List.pdf, 18. 2020-01-22 (19-123) Staff Recommended Draft SPOT Transit Submittal List.pdf, 19. 2019-08-14 (19-123) Potential SPOT 6 Submittal List August 2019.pdf, 20. 2019-11-20 (19-123) List of Carryover Projects for SPOT 6.pdf, 21. 2019-08-14 (19-123) Notification of Delay of SPOT 6 Process.pdf, 22. 2019-06-12 (19-123) SPOT 6 Initial Project List.pdf, 23. 2019-06-12 (19-123) SPOT 6 Update Presentation.pdf, 24. 2019-05-22 (19-123) SPOT 6 Initial Project List.pdf, 25. 2019-02-27 (19-123) SPOT 6 Init						
Date	Ver.	Action By				Action Result	
10/14/2020	12	DCHC MF	O Board			referred	
9/23/2020	11	Technical	Committe	е		forwarded	
8/12/2020	10	DCHC MF	O Board			referred	
7/22/2020	9	Technical	Committe	е		forwarded	
2/12/2020	7	DCHC MF	O Board			referred	
1/22/2020	6	Technical	Committe	е		forwarded	
11/20/2019	5	Technical	Committe	е		forwarded	
8/14/2019	4	DCHC MF	O Board			referred	
6/12/2019	3	DCHC MF	O Board			referred	
5/22/2019	2	Technical	Committe	е		forwarded	
2/27/2019	1	Technical	Committe	е		referred	

Alternate Scoring Criteria and SPOT 6 Update (10 minutes)

Anne Phillips, LPA Staff

According to the Strategic Transportation Investments (STI) law, alternate scoring criteria can be used for highway projects for SPOT 6.0 if there is concurrence among Divisions and their respective MPOs and RPOs. Division 5 and its MPOs and RPOs support moving all points from the Freight and Accessibility and Connectivity categories to the Safety category. Divisions 7 and 8 and their respective MPOs and RPOs are currently discussing whether to move all points from the Freight category to the Safety category. MPO staff supports the proposed alternate criteria for all three Divisions.

While NCDOT Regions can also make changes to the scoring criteria for Regional Impact projects, there is not yet concurrence for Region C (includes Divisions 5). Regions D and E have not discussed alternate scoring criteria since there is not yet concurrence at the Division level.

Area specific weights are due to the SPOT office on October 23, 2020.

SPOT 6 Update

The P6 Ongoing Prioritization Committee met in September to discuss NCDOT's current revenue and financial situation as well as its potential impacts on the P6 scoring schedule and funding results. The committee received a presentation from the STIP unit on the current reprogramming effort and potential P6 funding availability amounts. The committee has made a recommendation to proceed with quantitative scoring for P6 projects since this is mainly an internal NCDOT process that may also benefit local decision makers. The P6 process will pause after quantitative scoring is completed and before Local Input Point assignment windows, and the Ongoing Committee will meet again to reassess the financial situation and determine next steps for P6.

TC Action: Recommended that the MPO Board endorse the proposed alternate criteria for highway projects in SPOT 6.0.

Board Action: Endorse the proposed alternate criteria for highway projects in SPOT 6.0.