#### 1 DURHAM-CHAPEL HILL-CARRBORO METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION BOARD 2 12 May 2021 3 **MINUTES OF MEETING** 4 The Durham-Chapel Hill-Carrboro Metropolitan Planning Organization Board met on May 12 5 2021, at 9:00 a.m. remotely via Zoom. The following people were in attendance: Wendy Jacobs (Chair) **Durham County** 6 7 Jenn Weaver (Vice Chair) Town of Hillsborough 8 Jamezetta Bedford (Member) **Orange County** 9 Pierce Freelon (Member) City of Durham 10 Pam Hemminger (Member) Town of Chapel Hill Karen Howard (Member) **Chatham County** 11 Michael Parker (Member) GoTriangle 12 13 Charlie Reece (Member) City of Durham 14 Damon Seils (Member) Town of Carrboro Mark Bell (Alternate) Town of Hillsborough 15 Sally Greene (Alternate) **Orange County** 16 17 Brenda Howerton (Alternate) **Durham County** Lydia Lavelle (Alternate) Town of Carrboro 18 **NC Board of Transportation** 19 Lisa Mathis (Alternate) Town of Chapel Hill 20 Amy Ryan (Alternate) 21 Nimasheena Burns (Alternate) **Durham County** Ellen Beckmann **Durham County** 22 Nishith Trivedi 23 **Orange County** 24 John Hodges-Copple **TJCOG** Town of Carrboro 25 Zach Hallock Tina Moon Town of Carrboro 26 27 Bergen Watterson Town of Chapel Hill 28 Tim Schwarzauer **Chapel Hill Transit** 29 Nick Pittman **Chapel Hill Transit** Chapel Hill Transit/Planning 30 Matt Cecil City of Durham 31 Sean Egan 32 Bill Judge City of Durham Evan Tenenbaum City of Durham 33 34 **Brian Taylor** City of Durham 35 Tasha Johnson City of Durham Chassem Anderson The University of North Carolina 36 37 Kurt Stolka The University of North Carolina North Carolina Central University 38 Michael Page

GoTriangle

GoTriangle

Federal Highway Administration

39

40

41

Jay Heikes

Meg Scully

Joe Geigle

42	David Keilson	NCDOT Division 5	
43	Patrick Wilson	NCDOT Division 7	
44	Stephen Robinson	NCDOT Division 7	
45	Bryan Kluchar	NCDOT Division 8	
46	Brandon Jones	NCDOT Division 8	
47	Julie Bogle	NCDOT TPD	
48	Aaron Cain	DCHC MPO	
49	Andy Henry	DCHC MPO	
50	Anne Phillips	DCHC MPO	
51	Brian Rhodes	DCHC MPO	
52	Dale McKeel	DCHC MPO	
53	Felix Nwoko	DCHC MPO	
54	Kayla Mathews	DCHC MPO	
55	Mariel Klein	DCHC MPO	
56	Dave Connelly	Resident	
57	Mike Waldroup	Resident	
58	Quorum Count: 10 of 10 Voting	Members	
59	1. Roll Call		
60	Chair Wendy Jacobs called the n	neeting to order at 9:00 a.m. The Voting Members and	
- 4			
61	Alternate Voting Members of the DCHC MPO Board were identified through a roll call and are indicated		
<b>62</b>	.1		
62	above.		

# 63 **PRELIMINARIES:**

# 2. Ethics Reminder

64

65

66

67

68

69

71

Chair Wendy Jacobs read the Ethics Reminder and asked if there were any known conflicts of interest with respect to matters coming before the MPO Board and requested that if there were any identified during the meeting for them to be announced. There were no known conflicts identified by MPO Board Members.

# 3. Adjustments to the Agenda

70 There were no adjustments to the agenda.

# **4. Public Comments**

72 There were no public comments.

### 5. Directives to Staff

Chair Wendy Jacobs mentioned that MPO staff will address the directive to review proposed federal and state funding legislation at this meeting.

CONSENT AGENDA:

# 6. April 14, 2021 Board Meeting Minutes

Michael Parker made a motion to approve the Consent Agenda. Pam Hemminger seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

80 ACTION ITEMS:

# 7. CTP Amendment #3

### Andy Henry, LPA Staff

Andy Henry gave a presentation on the Comprehensive Transportation Plan (CTP)

Amendment #3 and asked for comments. Andy Henry pointed out the attachment in the agenda packet that includes a compilation of public comments received over the past month and said there was general support in the comments for bicycle/pedestrian projects and Bus Rapid Transit (BRT).

Chair Wendy Jacobs opened up the public hearing and no members of the public spoke. Chair Wendy Jacobs closed the public hearing.

Chair Wendy Jacobs asked for comments from MPO Board Members. Charlie Reece expressed concern over the continued inclusion of the abandoned alignment for the former Durham-Orange Light Rail Project (DO-LRT) in the CTP. Andy Henry said options for what to do with the DO-LRT alignment will be brought to the MPO Board in June. Andy Henry said that the alignment was left in the CTP for a future Durham-Orange Bus Rapid Transit project because it was assumed there was no negative financial impact from this decision, although new information indicates otherwise.

Charlie Reece responded that the main issue is the inclusion of alignment outside the public right of

way that obligates City of Durham planning staff to enforce that reservation even without a clear plan for the alignment in the future.

Andy Henry added that MPO staff is working on problem statements to provide more information that was requested by the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) as CTP Amendments are a joint process and must be adopted by both the MPO Board and the NC Board of Transportation.

A public hearing had been conducted and no further action was required by the MPO Board.

# 8. 2050 MTP – Deficiency and Needs Analysis

### Andy Henry, LPA Staff

Andy Henry introduced the Deficiency and Needs Analysis as a "living document" because it is frequently updated and referenced during the MTP development process. Andy Henry directed meeting participants to the DCHC MPO web page for more detailed data, graphics, and maps. Andy Henry reviewed the purpose of the Deficiency and Needs Analysis, which is to model how a no-build scenario would handle projected socioeconomic (SE) trends comprised of population and employment data. Andy Henry presented the SE data, performance measures, travel isochrones, travel time tables, and volume/capacity congestion maps.

Michael Parker asked if this data will be updated as the most recent census data becomes available. Andy Henry said that SE data will be updated at the census block level once the data that is scheduled to become available in August 2021 is released. Michael Parker mentioned that many of the performance measures relate to cars (Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT), Vehicle Hours Traveled (VHT), average speed, etc.) but does not show similar metrics for other modes of transportation such as biking, walking, and public transit, which more closely relate to the newly adopted MTP goals and objectives.

Damon Seils mentioned the importance of the VMT per capita performance measure showing a predicted dramatic increase despite already committed projects that were hoped to make a large impact on reducing VMT per capita. Damon Seils said that VMT per capita is an important measure to

demonstrate the functioning of our transportation system and whether our investments are making a difference and what investments we should be making to make a difference. Damon Seils emphasized that these projections are showing what could happen, and the MPO and local communities can positively impact the outcomes through land use policy, housing and employment opportunities, and transit investments.

Karen Howard asked why Chatham was not included on the maps shown in the presentation. Andy Henry responded that only 2/3 of Chatham County is included in the Triangle Regional Model (TRM), which extends beyond the MPO boundaries. Chair Wendy Jacobs agreed with Damon Seils that performance measures should correspond with the MTP goals and objectives and also recognized the potential challenges of constrained data availability.

Andy Henry said there is a set of performance measures beyond what is included in the TRM that are aligned with the MTP's goals and objectives, such as emissions, travel times for Communities of Concern (COCs), and amount of delay for COCs that will be included in each of the three scenarios proposed during the alternatives analysis. Michael Parker said changes in land use policy proved to be more impactful at congestion mitigation than investments in transit and additional road capacity during the 2045 MTP development process. Michael Parker suggested some performance measures that encompass the overall transportation environment such as investments in transit per capita. Michael Parker suspects investments in transit are not keeping up with population growth and the MPO should take on more of a role of advocating for good land use policies to address the challenges the MPO faces. Sally Greene asked if Triangle J Council of Government (TJCOG) could be a partner in collecting data and raising awareness of transit issues to aid in advancing regional solutions. Andy Henry said TJCOG is very involved in transit data collection, as well as the Capital Area MPO (CAMPO). Vice Chair Jenn Weaver agreed with Michael Parker that the land use aspect is critical to reaching the MTP goals and objectives

and suggested the MPO aid individual jurisdictions in communicating to the general public how the various local, regional, and state bodies work together.

Chair Wendy Jacobs said there was consensus that the MPO needs to make sure land use policy is integrated into the upcoming MTP scenarios and the MPO could form policy recommendations from local to regional levels.

Damon Seils made a motion to release the 2050 MTP Deficiency and Needs Analysis for a 30-day public comment period. Michael Parker seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

### 9. Federal Infrastructure Update

#### Dale McKeel, LPA Staff

Dale McKeel provided an update on current legislation at the federal and state levels, including the American Jobs Plan proposed by the Biden Administration for over \$2 trillion of investment throughout the next 8 years. Dale McKeel gave an update on bills currently being discussed in the NC General Assembly. Chair Wendy Jacobs asked for guidance to prepare for the passage of the American Jobs Plan and Dale McKeel said the MPO should focus on preparing shovel-worthy and shovel-ready projects to be ready to take advantage of the federal funding. Pierce Freelon commended MPO staff for high scoring project proposals that were reviewed at a meeting with Congressman David Price.

This item was for informational purposes and no further action was required by the MPO Board.

### 10. Material Change to the Durham County Transit Plan – New Regional Transit Center

162 Jay Heikes, GoTriangle

#### **Aaron Cain, LPA Staff**

Aaron Cain said that per the Interlocal Agreement (ILA) a material change to the Durham County Transit Plan must be approved by all three parties to the plan: 1) the Durham County Board of Commissioners that approved this material change on May 10, 2021, 2) the MPO Board that will vote at this meeting, and 3) the GoTriangle Board of Trustees that will vote in June.

Jay Heikes provided information on the request for \$600,000 in FY22 and a total of \$2,850,000 from FY22-FY24 for the relocation of the Regional Transit Center (RTC). Jay Heikes said GoTriangle

believes this request is consistent with the guidelines set out for FY22 work program development and gave the following three justifications: 1) This is a continuation of a previously funded transit plan project (the feasibility study), 2) the project fulfills needs identified in previous short range transit plans and is included in all three pending transit plan scenarios, and 3) commitment of local funding will support applications for competitive federal grant programs. Jay Heikes reviewed the purpose and results of the feasibility study and showed the location of the existing RTC as well as the proposed location where the new RTC could anchor a walkable, mixed use destination. Jay Heikes outlined the next steps moving forward after concept design is completed, including engineering and construction through 2024.

Charlie Reece expressed support for the RTC relocation project and pointed out that this material change is an exception to a current policy of no material changes in advance of the revisions to the Durham County Transit Plan. Charlie Reece said that GoDurham and Chapel Hill Transit had proposed a set of transit service improvements for FY22 to address specific needs that were identified during the community engagement process. Charlie Reece said he hopes the Durham Staff Working Group (SWG) will reconsider the recommendation to not proceed with material changes at that time as the City of Durham does not have a voting member in the SWG.

Chair Wendy Jacobs noted that the RTC relocation project was reconsidered in a time sensitive matter in order to take advantage of federal funding opportunities. Aaron Cain, Durham SWG administrator, explained that the original recommendation to not move forward with operating projects at that time came from GoTriangle Finance because of the financial implications of operating projects existing in perpetuity. Aaron Cain said that the SWG was uncomfortable moving forward with large accumulated investments in operating projects that would not go through as thorough of a public involvement process for a material change as is built into the Durham Transit Plan public engagement process.

Damon Seils thanked Charlie Reece for raising this question because it has been raised in Orange County as well, which indicates an overarching tension of continuing service needs being put on hold during the lengthy transit plan update process, despite there being an existing, albeit slightly dated, transit plan. Damon Seils expressed support for the RTC relocation project, but also asked for further consideration of service improvements for Chapel Hill Transit and GoDurham. Pierce Freelon asked for clarification on the role of the SWG and why the City of Durham does not have a vote in that group. Aaron Cain provided more background information on the ILA structure that allows that SWG to make recommendations to the three governing boards of the ILA addressing the implementation of the transit tax. Aaron Cain stated that the local share of a capital project must be considered committed to make applications more competitive for federal funding opportunities, which does not apply to operations projects, and an exception was made for the RTC relocation because the deadline to apply for federal funds precedes the scheduled adoption of the Durham County Transit Plan. Jay Heikes agreed that a federal funding application is much more competitive with demonstrated commitment of local funds. Ellen Beckmann, Chair of the Durham SWG, agreed with previous comments and added that the cost share split between counties for the RTC relocation is favorable to Durham and Orange Counties because Wake County would cover 70% of the project cost.

Michael Parker made a motion to approve a material change to the 2017 Durham County Transit

Plan to provide funding for the local match for a new RTC. Vice Chair Jenn Weaver seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously.

# 11. FY21-22 Call for Projects Funding Recommendation

### Anne Phillips, LPA Staff

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

Anne Phillips reviewed MPO staff's funding recommendations for Surface Transportation Block Grant Direct Attributable (STBGDA) funding, STBGDA-COVID funds, the Regional Bicycle and Pedestrian program, and the new STBG-Competitive funding source. Anne Phillips showed a pie chart breakdown of all funding sources by project type, most of which went to bike/ped projects.

219 Lydia Lavelle made a motion to approved the TC-endorsed slate of projects from the FY21-22 220 Call for Projects. Charlie Reece seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously. 12. Transportation Improvement Program Amendment #6 221 222 Anne Phillips, LPA Staff 223 Anne Phillips said this action item is necessary to add the projects approved in action item 11 to the TIP in Amendment #6. Anne Phillips mentioned that per the MPO's Public Involvement Policy, a 21-224 225 day public comment period is required because the Durham Belt Line project requested over \$1 million. 226 Anne Phillips pointed out that NCDOT has asked for two projects, I-3306A and I-3306AC, to be fast-227 tracked to meet an August let date and GoTriangle has asked for the RTC to be added to the TIP to 228 support their efforts to secure federal funding. 229 Pam Hemminger made a motion to release TIP Amendment #6 for a 21-day public comment 230 period. Lisa Mathis seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously. 13. SPOT 6.0 Draft Local Input Points Methodology 231 232 Anne Phillips, LPA Staff 233 Anne Phillips reviewed the changes to the Local Input Points Methodology, last adopted in 2018 234 for Strategic Transportation Prioritization (SPOT) 5.0. Anne Phillips asked that any comments on the 235 Methodology revisions be sent to her. Pam Hemminger made a motion to release the draft Methodology for a 21-day public comment 236 237 period. Michael Parker seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously. 238 14. FY21 Unified Planning Work Program Amendment #3 239 Felix Nwoko, LPA Manager **Tim Schwarzauer, Chapel Hill Transit** 240 241 Aaron Cain introduced Tim Schwarzauer, the grants compliance manager of Chapel Hill Transit. Tim Schwarzauer mentioned that the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) requires the grant to be 242

included in the UPWP in order to release the funding.

243

Vice Chair Jenn Weaver made a motion to approve FY21 UPWP Amendment #3. Jamezetta 244 Bedford seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously. 245 246 **REPORTS:** 247 15. Report from the MPO Board Chair 248 Wendy Jacobs, Board Chair 249 Chair Wendy Jacobs mentioned an article speculating long-term impacts of the COVID-19 250 pandemic on work schedules, which in turn affect transit demand and ridership. 251 16. Report from the Technical Committee Chair Ellen Beckmann, TC Chair 252 Ellen Beckmann acknowledged previous comments on SWG processes and will follow up with 253 SWG members and MPO staff. Ellen Beckmann mentioned the funding for the Durham portion of the 254 255 Durham/Orange Transit Governance Study will be brought before the GoTriangle Board in May for approval. 256 17. Report from LPA Staff 257 258 Felix Nwoko, LPA Manager 259 Felix Nwoko introduced a new MPO staff member, Mariel Klein, who will be the MPO's financial administrator. 260 261 **18. NCDOT Reports** Lisa Mathis, NC Board of Transportation 262 263 Lisa Mathis gave an update on last month's NCBOT meeting and said NCDOT is hoping to gain a 264 better understanding over the next few months of the impact of project cost increases and scope 265 changes on the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). Lisa Mathis shared statistics on recent litter collection efforts. 266 Brandon Jones (David Keilson/Richard Hancock), Division 5 - NCDOT 267

268	David Keilson said Alston Avenue has reopened to through traffic and the Holloway	
269	Street/Alston Avenue intersection is expected to reopen in mid-June. David Keilson said the East End	
270	Connector will likely open in late summer or fall.	
271	Wright Archer (Pat Wilson, Stephen Robinson), Division 7 - NCDOT	
272	Pat Wilson had no additional report.	
273	Patrick Norman (Bryan Kluchar, Jen Britt), Division 8 - NCDOT	
274	Bryan Kluchar had no additional report.	
275	Julie Bogle, Transportation Planning Branch - NCDOT	
276	Julie Bogle had no additional report.	
277	John Grant, Traffic Operations - NCDOT	
278	There was no additional report.	
279	Bryan Lopez, Integrated Mobility Division-NCDOT	
280	There was no additional report.	
281	INFORMATIONAL ITEMS:	
282	19. Recent News, Articles, and Updates	
283	There was no discussion on informational items.	
284	ADJOURNMENT:	
285	There being no further business before the DCHC MPO Board, the meeting was adjourned at	
286	11:18 a.m.	