1	DURHAM-CHAPEL HILL-CARRBORO METROP	OLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION BOARD
2	8 Novemb	per 2017
3		
4	MINUTES OF	MEETING
5		
6	The Durham-Chapel Hill-Carrboro Metropolita	an Planning Organization Board met on
7	November 8, 2017, at 9:00 a.m. in the City Co	uncil Committee Room, located on the second
8	floor of Durham City Hall. The following peop	le were in attendance:
9		
10	Steve Schewel (MPO Board Chair)	City of Durham
11	Damon Seils (MPO Board Vice Chair)	Town of Carrboro
12	Karen Howard (Member)	Chatham County
13	Don Moffitt (Member)	City of Durham
14	Ellen Reckhow (Member)	Durham County
15	Ed Harrison (Member)	GoTriangle
16	Brian Lowen (Member)	Town of Hillsborough
17	Pam Hemminger (Member)	Town of Chapel Hill
18	Nina Szlosberg-Landis (Member)	NC Board of Transportation
19	Wendy Jacobs (Alternate)	Durham County
20	Michael Parker (Alternate)	Town of Chapel Hill
21	David Keilson	NCDOT Division E
22 23	Richard Hancock	NCDOT, Division 5 NCDOT, Division 5
25 24	Ed Lewis	NCDOT, Division 7
24 25	Jen Britt	NCDOT, Division 8
23 26	Bergen Watterson	Town of Chapel Hill
20 27	Kayla Seibel	Town of Chapel Hill
28	John Hodges-Copple	Triangle J Council of Governments
20 29	Geoff Green	GoTriangle
30	Ellen Beckmann	City of Durham
31	Eddie Dancausse	Federal Highway Administration
32	Felix Nwoko	DCHC MPO
33	Andy Henry	DCHC MPO
34	Meg Scully	DCHC MPO
35	Aaron Cain	DCHC MPO
36	Dale McKeel	DCHC MPO/City of Durham
37	Brian Rhodes	DCHC MPO
38	Anne Phillips	DCHC MPO
39	Gretchen Coperine	RTP
40	Evan Tenenbaum	Durham County
41	Heidi Perov	Carrboro Bike Advocate
42	Nishith Trivedi	Orange County
43	Will Letchworth	WSP
44	Lauren Dirgo	University of Washington
45		
46		

47	Quorum Count: 9 of 10 Voting Members
48 49	Chair Steve Schewel called the meeting to order at 9:04 a.m. A roll call was performed. The
50	Voting Members and Alternate Voting Members of the DCHC MPO Board were identified and are
51	indicated above. Chair Steve Schewel reminded everyone to sign-in using the sign-in sheet that was
52	being circulated.
53	PRELIMINARIES:
54	2. Ethics Reminder
55	Chair Steve Schewel read the Ethics Reminder and asked if there were any known conflicts of
56	interest with respect to matters coming before the MPO Board and requested that if there were any
57	identified during the meeting for them to be announced. There were no known conflicts identified by
58	MPO Board members.
59	3. Adjustments to the Agenda
60	There were no adjustments to the agenda.
61	4. Public Comments
62	There were no public comments.
63	5. Directives to Staff
64	The Directives to Staff were included in the agenda packet for review.
65	Vice Chair Damon Seils asked the LPA Staff to request an update from the Capital Area
66	Metropolitan Planning Organization (CAMPO) on the feasibility study for the proposed I-40 bicycle
67	project.
68	CONSENT AGENDA:
69	6. Approval of October 11, 2017, Meeting Minutes
70	7. Approval of Amendment #12 to the FY2016-25 Transportation Improvement Plan

- Vice Chair Damon Seils made a motion to approve the items on the Consent Agenda. Penny Rich
 seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.
- 73

ACTION ITEMS:

- 74 8. FY2018-27 Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Plan
- 75 Aaron Cain, LPA Staff
- 76 Aaron Cain discussed the timeline for adopting the FY2016-25 Metropolitan Transportation
- 77 Improvement Plan (MTIP). Aaron Cain drew attention to comments received from the public, the
- 78 Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), and the North Carolina Department of Transportation
- 79 (NCDOT). Aaron Cain stated that changes to the MTIP would be made with an amendment at the
- 80 December MPO Board meeting. He also described the two changes that were made to the MTIP, but
- 81 that were not made to the State Transportation Improvement Plan (STIP).
- 82 Chair Steve Schewel declared the public hearing open. There were no public comments. Chair
- 83 Steve Schewel declared the public hearing closed.
- 84 Pam Hemminger made a motion to adopt the FY2018-27 MTIP. Vice Chair Damon Seils seconded
- the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

86 9. NC 98 Corridor Study

- 87 Will Letchworth, P.E., WSP
- 88 Andy Henry described the scope of the NC 98 corridor study.

Will Letchworth provided an overview of the study. He noted that the study will account for all modes and has a strong focus on safety. He stated that the study was currently in the conceptual design phase, and that many grade separation issues need to be resolved on NC 98. Will Letchworth described the results of the stakeholder input process and public meetings, and highlighted how suggestions from

- 93 the public have been incorporated into a map. Will Letchworth discussed traffic volumes on NC 98 and
- 94 results of the crash analysis. He provided examples of improvements that could be made to reduce
- 95 crashes along a crash-prone 2-mile segment of NC 98. Will Letchworth also discussed the results of the

96	economic analysis that was done as part of the study, and existing and planned projects along the
97	corridor. Will Letchworth concluded his presentation by discussing short and long term changes that can
98	be made to improve the NC 98 corridor.

99 Will Letchworth and Chair Steve Schewel discussed the proposed widening of NC 98 between 100 Sharon Road and Junction Road. Will Letchworth and Ed Harrison discussed whether the major 101 intersections on NC 98 were at grade. Don Moffitt and Will Letchworth discussed conflict points at 102 continuous flow intersections and median U-turn lanes. Nina Szlosberg-Landis and Will Letchworth 103 discussed the average speed on the corridor, the reason that a roundabout is not one of the potential 104 intersection designs, and whether autonomous vehicles have been factored into the study. Will 105 Letchworth stated that the current version of the Triangle Regional Model (TRM) does not currently 106 incorporate autonomous vehicles. Michael Parker and Will Letchworth discussed whether non-grade 107 level intersections are viable for improving NC 98.

108 Ellen Reckhow stated that she hoped that buffered lanes or shared paths were being considered due to the current speed limits on NC 98. Ellen Reckhow and Will Letchworth discussed why a multi-use 109 110 path might work particularly well for NC 98. Ellen Reckhow and Ellen Beckmann discussed the segment 111 of NC 98 that was submitted for Strategic Prioritization Office of Transportation (SPOT) prioritization. 112 Will Letchworth stated that there has been a lot of community support for a road diet and median on 113 NC 98. Ed Harrison and Will Letchworth discussed NC 98 right of way and whether it is workable for a 114 multi-use path. Will Letchworth discussed the next steps in the study. 115 No further action was required by the MPO Board.

- 116 **10. 2045 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) -- Public Hearing**
- 117 Andy Henry, LPA Staff

Andy Henry described recent changes that were made to the preferred option of the MTP, and the next steps for the MTP. Andy Henry and Michael Parker discussed whether a reduction of overall Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) could be added to the MTP along with a reduction of VMT per capita. Vice

Chair Damon Seils asked that the MTP make clear that the Carrboro extension of the light rail project is
 primarily conceptual at this point.

123 Chair Steve Schewel declared the public hearing open. Andy Henry and Ellen Reckhow discussed 124 recent transit enhancements to the MTP, primarily the extension of the light and commuter rail 125 projects. There was discussion of whether the section of the MTP about the restriction of Strategic 126 Transportation Legislation (STI) should be put into a letter. Andy Henry stated that STI restrictions were 127 loosened for the last decade of the MTP. Chair Steve Schewel declared the public hearing closed. Andy Henry discussed the relationship between goals, objectives, and performance measures in 128 the MTP. Chair Steve Schewel commended Andy Henry for the accessible format of the goals, 129 130 objectives, and performance measures section of the MTP. Vice Chair Damon Seils and Andy Henry 131 discussed how the MTP could better reflect the MPO's desire to ensure participation from all parts of 132 the community, particularly transit dependent riders, and the best way to measure this goal. Chair Steve 133 Schewel stated that the recent Environmental Justice Report might be useful for improving the discussion of equity and participation in the MTP. 134 135 Ellen Reckhow pointed out a minor error in the goals, objectives, and performance measures 136 section of the MTP, and suggested that increasing mobility options should be added as a bullet point to 137 the document. Andy Henry explained how he developed performance measures and targets for the 138 MTP. Ellen Reckhow stated that the suite of Transportation Demand Management (TDM) strategies 139 should be added to the MTP's discussion of the reduction in emissions target. Wendy Jacobs suggested 140 that zip codes could be used to measure equitable public participation, and inquired whether the DCHC 141 MPO was working with CAMPO to develop targets. Andy Henry stated that CAMPO probably would not develop targets. Wendy Jacobs commented on the importance of meeting with CAMPO at least twice a 142 143 year. Chair Steve Schewel stated that the chairs and vice chairs of the DCHC MPO and CAMPO recently

- 144 met. He thanked John Hodges-Copple for arranging the meeting. Ellen Reckhow suggested that TDM be
- added to the discussion of transit and automobile trip share.

146 Andy Henry described the next steps for developing and approving the MTP. In response to a

- 147 question from Chair Steve Schewel, Andy Henry stated that the MTP is updated every 5 years. Ed
- 148 Harrison and Andy Henry discussed the two circulator roads located near the intersection of I-40 and US
- 149 15-501.
- 150 No further action was required by the MPO Board.

151 **<u>11. DCHC MPO Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Transition Plan and Self-Assessment</u>**

152 Felix Nwoko, LPA Staff

Felix Nwoko stated that the MPO is required to develop an ADA Transition Plan as well as monitor recipients of federal funds for ADA compliance. Felix Nwoko described how the MPO collected data on the disabled population. Felix Nwoko stated that he would be serving as ADA coordinator for the MPO until the new MPO position is filled. He drew attention to the draft plan that would be released for public input, and described some of the tasks that the MPO has completed in order to comply with federal ADA regulations. He also shared how the DCHC MPO's website was updated in order to meet ADA accessibility requirements.

Felix Nwoko stated that the DCHC MPO was working with the US Department of Transportation, and the FHWA to determine the roles and responsibility of the municipalities. He added that the MPO is also working with NCDOT to achieve ADA compliance. Felix Nwoko stated that the MPO does not monitor transit operators for ADA compliance, because transit operators must comply with Federal Transit Administration (FTA) requirements.

Vice Chair Damon Seils highlighted the steps that municipalities need to take to achieve ADA compliance, and pointed out that it was important for member jurisdictions to know that they have a role to play in this process. Vice Chair Damon Seils stated that this policy was a great step for the MPO. Felix Nwoko and Chair Steve Schewel discussed the personnel responsible for ADA compliance at the

169	City of Durham. Chair Steve Schewel and Felix Nwoko discussed the timetable for finalizing the MPO's
170	ADA policies. Chair Steve Schewel inquired why Felix Nwoko was the interim ADA coordinator, and Felix
171	Nwoko clarified that he would be serving as ADA coordinator until the MPO hires a new staff member.
172	There was discussion of the timeline for hiring the new MPO staff member.
173	Vice Chair Damon Seils made a motion to authorize the release of the DCHC MPO Draft ADA
174	Transition Plan and Self-Assessment for public comment. Ellen Reckhow seconded the motion. The
175	motion passed unanimously.
176 177 178	<u>12. Legislative and Policy Framework for SPOT Process</u> Aaron Cain, LPA Staff Dale McKeel, LPA Staff
179	Aaron Cain stated that his presentation would highlight the differences between STI Law and
180	NCDOT policy. Aaron Cain discussed the history of the STI law and provided an overview of the law,
181	particularly relating to how the law changed the way that transportation projects are prioritized using
182	the data-driven SPOT process. Aaron Cain identified funding sources for transportation projects, and
183	explained how funds are divided for statewide, regional, and division projects. Aaron Cain provided
184	examples of statewide and regional projects. There was discussion of whether projects on US 15-501 are
185	statewide projects. Aaron Cain discussed STI funding restrictions on transit projects. He defined regions
186	and divisions, and stated that the DCHC MPO spans three regions and three divisions. Aaron Cain stated
187	that the fact that the DCHC MPO spans three regions and three divisions means that jurisdictions do not
188	compete with each other for funding.
189	Vice Chair Damon Seils asked for and received clarification that the 10% cap on regional transit
190	projects only applies to light and commuter rail projects. Michael Parker and Aaron Cain discussed how
191	projects that span multiple regions are funded. Aaron Cain defined and provided examples of division-
192	wide projects. Aaron Cain and Ellen Reckhow discussed how projects are categorized. There was
193	discussion of how bicycle and pedestrian projects are categorized and funded, and the local government

194	match required for bicycle and pedestrian projects. Chair Steve Schewel and Aaron Cain discussed how
195	population affects funding allocations for MPOs. Dale McKeel stated that the lack of consideration for
196	population in allocating funding was meant to help rural legislators support the STI program. There was
197	discussion of whether there have been any studies looking at the equity issues of spending per capita in
198	the four iterations of the SPOT process.
199	Aaron Cain described the SPOT prioritization process. In response to a question from Michael
200	Parker, Aaron Cain clarified that commuter rail projects are considered transit, not rail, projects. Aaron
201	Cain described how projects are scored in the SPOT process. There was discussion of whether
202	jurisdictions have to agree to projects being submitted within their jurisdiction by another party. Chair
203	Steve Schewel described recent discussions that he has had with the CAMPO chair and vice chair,
204	Triangle J Council of Governments (TJCOG), and GoTriangle to ensure that projects are properly
205	sequenced when submitted for SPOT prioritization and that the Durham-Orange Light Rail Transit (D-O
206	LRT project) receives priority consideration. Chair Steve Schewel stated that it was important to be
207	cooperative in seeking SPOT scoring and funding for the D-O LRT. Vice Chair Damon Seils concurred that
208	it was important to collaborate instead of compete for funding for the D-O LRT.
209	Aaron Cain discussed factors other than scoring, such as project readiness, that affect funding
210	for projects that are submitted through the SPOT process. Ellen Reckhow reiterated the importance of
211	working collaboratively to fund transportation projects.
212	Aaron Cain highlighted NCDOT policies, primarily normalization, weighting, and bicycle and
213	pedestrian policies, that the MPO may be able to influence. There was discussion of how these polices
214	affect the DCHC MPO. Aaron Cain, Nina Szlosberg-Landis, and Ellen Beckmann discussed how NCDOT's
215	bicycle and pedestrian polices favor cities that have resources. Aaron Cain explained how each mode has
216	a different funding formula under STI legislation. He discussed how separate formulas for separate

- 217 modes affects the MPO. In response to a request from Wendy Jacobs, Aaron Cain confirmed that he
- 218 would circulate his PowerPoint presentation.
- 219 Dale McKeel stated that he had prepared a list of issues with funding for bicycle and pedestrian
- 220 projects in preparation for a proposed meeting with Transportation Secretary James Trogdon and/or
- 221 Deputy Secretary Julie White. Ellen Reckhow provided suggestions on how to tweak several bullets on
- the list. Chair Steve Schewel thanked Dale McKeel for developing the list of issues.
- 223 This item was informational and no further action was required by the MPO Board.
- 224

REPORTS:

225 **<u>13. Report from the DCHC MPO Board Chair</u>**

226 Steve Schewel, DCHC MPO Board Chair

227 Chair Steve Schewel stated that he and Vice Chair Damon Seils met with the chair and vice chair

- of CAMPO. Chair Steve Schewel asked Felix Nwoko to circulate the details about the upcoming joint
- 229 meeting between the DCHC MPO and CAMPO.

230 14. Report from the DCHC MPO Technical Committee Chair

- 231 Ellen Beckmann, DCHC MPO TC Chair
- There was no report from the TC Chair.

233 15. Reports from LPA Staff

234 Felix Nwoko, LPA Staff

Aaron Cain stated that there would be a call for nominations for MPO Chair and Vice Chair at the

- 236 December MPO Board meeting. Chair Steve Schewel formed a nominating committee for the upcoming
- 237 MPO Board elections. Pam Hemminger and Karen Howard volunteered to serve on the committee along
- with Chair Steve Schewel.
- Dale McKeel stated that the Golden Modes program would be held on November 9, 2017, and
- that an MPO Board member would be recognized at the program.

241 **16. NCDOT Reports:**

242	Richard Hancock, NCDOT Division 5, provided updates on the East End Connector project, the
243	Old Chapel Hill Road bicycle and pedestrian project, the Alston Avenue project, and the
244	Barbee/Herndon roundabout project.
245	Ed Lewis, NCDOT Division 7, stated that the Division 7 report was included in the agenda
246	packet. In response to a question from Vice Chair Damon Seils, Ed Lewis provided an update on the
247	project to put up a fence on the I-40 bridge on Orange Grove Road. Brian Lowen asked for a timetable
248	for the Orange Grove Road project. Ed Lewis stated that the Division was still looking for funding for
249	the project, but promised to follow up with a timetable.
250	Pam Hemminger and Ed Lewis discussed whether there were any upcoming meetings for the
251	Merritt Mill Road roundabout project. Ed Lewis provided a general update on the Merritt Mill Road
252	roundabout project and the projected cost of the project. Pam Hemminger asked for an update on the
253	Mt. Carmel Church Road project, and Ed Lewis promised to follow up with additional information.
254	Michael Parker asked for and received an update on the Mt. Carmel Church/Bennett Road roundabout.
255	There was no report from NCDOT Division 8.
256	There was no report from NCDOT Transportation Planning Branch.
257	INFORMATIONAL ITEMS:
258	17. Recent News, Articles, and Updates
259	Ed Harrison stated that this would be his last MPO Board meeting. He reflected on how he
260	became involved with the MPO. Nina Szlosberg-Landis thanked Ed Harrison for his service to the MPO
261	Board. Don Moffitt commended Ed Harrison for his work on the MPO Board, and for his service to
262	Chapel Hill and Durham.
263	Felix Nwoko and Chair Steve Schewel discussed plans to recognize the outgoing MPO Board
264	members.

265	Ellen Reckhow reflected on her working relationship with Ed Harrison. Pam Hemminger stated
266	that Chapel Hill, the MPO, and Durham have benefited from Ed Harrison's collaborative approach to the
267	work of the MPO Board. Ellen Reckhow commended Don Moffitt for his attention to detail and his
268	preparedness at MPO Board meetings.
269	Don Moffitt congratulated MPO Board members who were successful in their recent re-election
270	campaigns.
271	ADJOURNMENT:
272	There being no further business before the DCHC MPO Board, the meeting was adjourned at
273	11:27 a.m.