1	DURHAM-CHAPEL HILL-CARRBORO METROPOLI	TAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
2	TECHNICAL COMMIT	ITEE
3	26 July 2017	
4		
5	MINUTES OF MEET	NG
6		
7	The Durham-Chapel Hill-Carrboro Metropolitan Planr	ning Organization Technical Committee
8	met on July 26, 2017, at 9:00 a.m. in the City Council	Committee Room, located on the second
9	floor of Durham City Hall. The following people were	in attendance:
10		
11	Ellen Beckmann (Chair)	City of Durham Transportation
12	Margaret Hauth (Vice Chair)	Hillsborough Planning
13	Kayla Seibel (Member)	Chapel Hill Planning
14	Kumar Neppalli (Member)	Chapel Hill Engineering
15	Terry Bellamy (Member)	City of Durham Transportation
16	Hannah Jacobson (Member)	City of Durham Planning
17	Tasha Johnson (Member)	City of Durham Public Works
18	Tina Moon (Member)	Carrboro Planning
19	Bergen Watterson (Member)	Chapel Hill Planning
20	Laura Woods (Member)	Durham County Planning
21	Linda Thomas Wallace (Member)	Durham County Cooperative Extension
22	Scott Whiteman (Member)	Durham County Planning
23	Cara Coppola (Member)	Chatham County Planning
24	Geoff Green (Member)	GoTriangle
25	Gretchen Coperine (Member)	Research Triangle Foundation
26	Julie Bogle (Member)	NCDOT, TPB
27	Kurt Stolka (Member)	UNC
28	Bryan Poole (Alternate)	City of Durham Transportation
29	Richard Hancock (Alternate)	NCDOT, Division 5
30	Patrick Wilson (Alternate)	NCDOT, Division 7
31	Bryan Kluchar (Member)	NCDOT, Division 8
32	Jen Britt (Alternate) Craig Benedict (Alternate)	NCDOT, Division 8 Orange County
33 24	Eddie Dancausse	Federal Highway Administration
34 35	Dale McKeel	City of Durham/DCHC MPO
35 36	Felix Nwoko	DCHC MPO
30 37	Andy Henry	DCHC MPO
37 38	Meg Scully	DCHC MPO
30 39	Aaron Cain	DCHC MPO
40	Brian Rhodes	DCHC MPO
40 41	Anne Phillips	DCHC MPO
41	Bill Judge	City of Durham Transportation
42 43	Mohammed Islam	City of Durham Transportation
43 44	Don Bryson	VHB
45	Taruna Tayal	VHB
15		

46	Rachel Gaylord-Miles	WSP
47	Bahaj More	NCDOT
48	Evan Tenenbaum	City of Durham
49	Cy Stober	City of Mebane
50		
51	Quorum Count: 23 of 31 Voting Memb	ers
52		
53	Chair Ellen Beckmann called the meetir	g to order at 9:03 a.m. A roll call was performed. The
54	voting members and alternate voting members	of the DCHC MPO Technical Committee (TC) were
55	identified and are indicated above. Chair Ellen E	eckmann reminded everyone to sign-in using the sign-in
56	sheet that was being circulated.	
57	Chair Ellen Beckmann reminded meetir	g attendees that the DCHC MPO requires a notice from the
58	chief officer of their organization if they will be	come voting members or alternates of the TC.
59	<u>PF</u>	ELIMINARIES:
60	2. Adjustments to the Agenda	
61	Chair Ellen Beckmann asked if there we	re any adjustments to the agenda.
62	Felix Nwoko drew attention to attachm	ents related to the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP)
63	Alternatives Analysis, and two other items relat	ed to the DCHC MPO's request for a new transit planner.
64	3. Public Comments	
65	Chair Ellen Beckmann asked if there we	re any members of the public signed up to speak. There
66	were no members of the public signed up to sp	eak during the meeting.
67	<u>CON</u>	ISENT AGENDA:
68	4. Approval of May 24, 2017, TC Meeting Min	<u>utes</u>
69	Hannah Jacobson made a motion to ap	pprove the May 24, 2017, TC Meeting Minutes. Vice Chair
70	Margaret Hauth seconded the motion. The mo	tion passed unanimously.
71	<u>A</u>	CTION ITEMS:
72	5. Resolution in Support of Vision Zero Durha	m
73	Terry Bellamy	_

74	Terry Bellamy shared the overarching vision, core principles, and a brief history of the Vision
75	Zero program. He also shared crash data for Durham County and discussed the strategies of the Vision
76	Zero Durham program. Terry Bellamy reviewed the upcoming schedule of Vision Zero Durham events
77	and led TC meeting attendees in taking the Vision Zero Durham pledge.
78	Bergen Watterson inquired whether the meeting with Leah Shahum, founder and director of the
79	Vision Zero Network, was opened to other TC members, and Terry Bellamy confirmed that it was. Terry
80	Bellamy commented on the importance of partnerships and educational outreach in achieving a
81	successful Vision Zero Durham program.
82	Hannah Jacobson and Terry Bellamy discussed whether citywide speed limits in Durham would
83	be adjusted in accordance with studies about the impact of speed on fatalities.
84	John Hodges-Copple made a motion to forward the Vision Zero Durham resolution to the MPO
85	Board. Laura Woods seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.
86 87 88 89	<u>6. Authorize Durham City Manager on behalf of DCHC MPO to enter into agreement with North</u> <u>Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) for the Metropolitan Planning Program Grant</u> <u>(Section 5303) for FY18</u> Meg Scully, LPA Staff
87 88	Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) for the Metropolitan Planning Program Grant (Section 5303) for FY18
87 88 89	Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) for the Metropolitan Planning Program Grant (Section 5303) for FY18 Meg Scully, LPA Staff
87 88 89 90	Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) for the Metropolitan Planning Program Grant (Section 5303) for FY18 Meg Scully, LPA Staff The Metropolitan Planning Program Grant (Section 5303) scope and budget for FY18 were
87 88 89 90 91	Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) for the Metropolitan Planning Program Grant (Section 5303) for FY18 Meg Scully, LPA Staff The Metropolitan Planning Program Grant (Section 5303) scope and budget for FY18 were approved by the MPO Board as part of the FY18 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) on February 8,
87 88 89 90 91 92	Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) for the Metropolitan Planning Program Grant (Section 5303) for FY18 Meg Scully, LPA Staff The Metropolitan Planning Program Grant (Section 5303) scope and budget for FY18 were approved by the MPO Board as part of the FY18 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) on February 8, 2017. The Durham City Manager, on behalf of DCHC MPO, is the contractor's authorized representative
87 88 89 90 91 92 93	Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) for the Metropolitan Planning Program Grant (Section 5303) for FY18 Meg Scully, LPA Staff The Metropolitan Planning Program Grant (Section 5303) scope and budget for FY18 were approved by the MPO Board as part of the FY18 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) on February 8, 2017. The Durham City Manager, on behalf of DCHC MPO, is the contractor's authorized representative for the agreement between NCDOT and the City of Durham. The MPO Board must authorize the City
<ul> <li>87</li> <li>88</li> <li>89</li> <li>90</li> <li>91</li> <li>92</li> <li>93</li> <li>94</li> </ul>	Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) for the Metropolitan Planning Program Grant (Section 5303) for FY18 Meg Scully, LPA Staff The Metropolitan Planning Program Grant (Section 5303) scope and budget for FY18 were approved by the MPO Board as part of the FY18 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) on February 8, 2017. The Durham City Manager, on behalf of DCHC MPO, is the contractor's authorized representative for the agreement between NCDOT and the City of Durham. The MPO Board must authorize the City Manager to enter into agreement with NCDOT.
<ul> <li>87</li> <li>88</li> <li>89</li> <li>90</li> <li>91</li> <li>92</li> <li>93</li> <li>94</li> <li>95</li> </ul>	Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) for the Metropolitan Planning Program Grant (Section 5303) for FY18 Meg Scully, LPA Staff         The Metropolitan Planning Program Grant (Section 5303) scope and budget for FY18 were approved by the MPO Board as part of the FY18 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) on February 8, 2017. The Durham City Manager, on behalf of DCHC MPO, is the contractor's authorized representative for the agreement between NCDOT and the City of Durham. The MPO Board must authorize the City Manager to enter into agreement with NCDOT.         Vice Chair Margaret Hauth made a motion to recommend that the MPO Board authorize the
<ul> <li>87</li> <li>88</li> <li>89</li> <li>90</li> <li>91</li> <li>92</li> <li>93</li> <li>94</li> <li>95</li> <li>96</li> </ul>	Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) for the Metropolitan Planning Program Grant (Section 5303) for FY18 Meg Scully, LPA Staff The Metropolitan Planning Program Grant (Section 5303) scope and budget for FY18 were approved by the MPO Board as part of the FY18 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) on February 8, 2017. The Durham City Manager, on behalf of DCHC MPO, is the contractor's authorized representative for the agreement between NCDOT and the City of Durham. The MPO Board must authorize the City Manager to enter into agreement with NCDOT. Vice Chair Margaret Hauth made a motion to recommend that the MPO Board authorize the Durham City Manager to enter into agreement with NCDOT. Geoff Green seconded the motion. The

100	DCHC MPO is required by federal regulations to prepare an annual UPWP that details and guides
101	the urban area transportation planning activities. On February 8, 2017, the DCHC MPO Board approved
102	the FY18 UPWP with a period of performance from July 1, 2017, to June 30, 2018. Meg Scully called
103	attention to the August 25, 2017, deadline for processing the first amendment, and the grant reporting
104	due date table in the agenda packet.
105	This item was informational and no further action was required by the TC.
106 107	8. FFY17 Section 5307/5340 FULL Apportionment Split Letter (5 minutes) Meg Scully, LPA Staff
108	Section 5307/5340 funds are allocated to urbanized areas for transit capital and operating
109	assistance, and for transportation planning. The MPO's full apportionment for FFY17 for each program
110	was released by Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and the Lead Planning Agency (LPA) Staff, in
111	consultation with the four fixed-route transit operators, developed a recommended distribution of this
112	funding. A split letter to FTA regarding the allocation of these funds among transit operators must be
113	approved by the MPO Board to authorize transit operators to seek applications for funding.
114	Bergen Watterson made a motion to recommend that the MPO Board approve the distribution
115	and endorse the attached FFY17 full apportionment split letter. John Hodges-Copple seconded the
116	motion. The motion passed unanimously.
117	Meg Scully reminded the TC about the training for sub-recipients of UPWP planning funds that
118	was scheduled after the TC meeting.
119 120	<u>9. FY2018-27 TIP Update</u> Aaron Cain, LPA Staff
121	Aaron Cain drew attention to an attachment showing the list of DCHC MPO projects that made it
122	into the recently released State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), and that will therefore be
123	incorporated into the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). He briefly discussed the schedule for

124 developing the TIP, and the required public comment periods and public hearings. Aaron Cain discussed

125	NCDOT's recommendation that three projects, the grade separation at Blackwell Street/Mangum Street
126	and two managed lane projects on I-40, be removed from consideration in Strategic Prioritization Office
127	of Transportation (SPOT) 5.0. Aaron Cain stated that Congestion Mitigation/Air Quality Improvement
128	Program (CMAQ) awards were recently released, and that the MPO received funding for all of its
129	requested projects. He added that a couple of TIP numbers may be changing.
130	There was discussion of whether the I-40 managed lanes projects could be removed from the
131	TIP while staying in the STIP.
132	Aaron Cain and Chair Ellen Beckmann discussed draft municipal agreements for CMAQ projects.
133	In response to a question from John Hodges-Copple, Aaron Cain clarified that the
134	Blackwell/Mangum project is a rail project. There was discussion of how rail projects are funded, and
135	how funding would be reallocated if the Blackwell/Mangum project is removed from the STIP. Chair
136	Ellen Beckmann inquired whether the TC action needed to be modified to reflect that the recommended
137	MPO Board action was removing the three projects from the TIP, not the STIP, and that the draft TIP
138	should be released for public comment by August 23, 2017. There was discussion of whether there was
139	any advantage to removing the three projects from the TIP immediately, or waiting for SPOT 5.0 scoring.
140	Chair Ellen Beckmann, John Hodges-Copple, and Geoff Green discussed whether removing the
141	Blackwell/Mangum project from the TIP, and not the STIP, would be a problem for GoTriangle. John
142	Hodges-Copple and Geoff Green discussed how the Blackwell/Mangum project would be rescored in
143	SPOT 5.0.
144	Geoff Green made a motion to recommend to the MPO Board that projects I-5702A, I-5702B,
145	and P-5710 be removed from the STIP, and that the MPO Board release the draft TIP for public comment
146	on or by August 23, 2017. Vice Chair Margaret Hauth seconded the motion. The motion passed

147 unanimously.

148 **10. SPOT 5.0 Update** 

149 Aaron Cain, LPA Staff

150	Aaron Cain discussed the overlap between the TIP and SPOT schedules, and stated that he
151	would notify the SPOT office that the three projects discussed in the previous agenda item would not be
152	resubmitted for prioritization in SPOT 5.0. Aaron Cain drew attention to handouts detailing the
153	proposed modifications and deletions for SPOT 5.0. He noted that the Divisions, along with any MPOs
154	and Rural Planning Organization (RPOs) in which a project is situated must concur with any
155	modifications and deletions from SPOT 5.0 for Carryover projects. Aaron Cain noted that Division 5 has
156	already agreed to the modification of a couple of developmental projects, and that he needed to make a
157	correction to the U-5720C project to reflect that only part of that project would be removed and that a
158	multi-use path would be added to the project. Aaron Cain reviewed modifications that would be made
159	to several projects. He noted that projects that were moved in the most recent STIP from
160	developmental to committed cannot be modified through the SPOT process, but that these projects
161	could be modified through other avenues.
162	Aaron Cain suggested that Transportation Secretary James Trogdon's request to the Divisions to
163	investigate alternative scoring criteria for the SPOT process should be discussed. Aaron Cain stated that
164	Divisions 5, 7, and 8 developed a framework for alternate criteria. Aaron Cain discussed these
165	alternative criteria, especially how freight scores and safety would be taken into consideration, and how
166	such alternative criteria might affect the DCHC MPO.
167	Aaron Cain reviewed NCDOT's definition of accessibility and connectivity in response to an
168	inquiry from Vice Chair Margaret Hauth.
169	There was discussion of whether there was any data on freight on NC 751, and whether freight
170	data is only available for interstates and US routes.
171	Geoff Green and Pat Wilson discussed NCDOT's decision not to concur with the changes to
172	various projects along US 15-501 to change them away from capacity improvements.

173

174

Aaron Cain clarified that this year, unlike in previous years, the MPO Board has to vote not to use alternate criteria and stick with the default criteria.

Chair Ellen Beckmann and Terry Bellamy discussed the fact that congestion outweighs safety in 175 176 Region C. Aaron Cain stated that several other MPOs would have to agree to changes regarding safety 177 versus congestion in Region C, and that freight was considered more of a priority than safety in a recent 178 meeting with other members from Region C. There was continued discussion of the decisions of various 179 Regions regarding freight and the boundaries of various regions. 180 Aaron Cain pointed out that the MPO Board already approved the modifications and deletions 181 subject to future changes after discussion with the Divisions, and that they may not need to endorse the 182 most recent changes. Aaron Cain promised to follow up with the Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization 183 184 about changes to project U-5518. 185 Cara Coppola made a motion to recommend that the MPO Board endorse the proposed alternate criteria for highway projects in SPOT 5.0. Laura Woods seconded the motion. The motion 186 187 passed unanimously. 11. 2045 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) – Alternatives Analysis 188 Andy Henry, LPA Staff 189 190 Andy Henry discussed the schedule for developing and adopting the 2045 MTP, and drew 191 attention to deadlines that the MPO needs to meet in order to avoid triggering new requirements or a 192 plan lapse. Andy Henry stated that the Alternatives Analysis was an opportunity for staff, the public and the MPO Board to begin talking about solutions to different deficiencies, and how different aspects of 193 194 the Alternatives Analyses will be used to create the final MTP. Andy Henry differentiated the four

195 Alternative Analysis scenarios from each other, and discussed the methodology that was used to create

- 196 the scenarios. He also discussed the performance measures, such as travel isochrones, that will be used
- 197 to evaluate the scenarios. Andy Henry also reviewed the color key on the congestion maps, and

discussed the comparison of Traffic Analysis Zones (TAZs) near the proposed light rail and other high-

199 end transit to the overall region.

200 Andy Henry and Chair Ellen Beckmann discussed the kinds of trips that were used in the 201 scenarios.

Andy Henry discussed the travel time index and the methodology he used to calculate the cost of congestion. Andy Henry clarified that data for other corridors is available, and the only restriction on corridors is that they need to be within the modeling area/DCHC MPO boundary. There was discussion

of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill employment data that was used for the scenarios.

206 Chair Ellen Beckmann and Andy Henry discussed whether the highway and transit networks

207 have been informed by fiscal constraint. The Alternatives are not fiscally-constrained. Andy Henry

discussed how the fact that SPOT funding is divided into statewide, regional, and division funding affects

the projects in the MTP.

210 Craig Benedict and Andy Henry discussed why the Volume to Capacity (V/C) analysis of US 15-

211 501 shows a free flow of traffic.

212 Craig Benedict made a motion to forward the Alternatives Analysis to the MPO Board based on

213 input from the TC subcommittee, and recommend that the MPO Board release the Alternatives Analysis

for a 42-day public comment period. Cara Coppola seconded the motion. The motion passed

215 unanimously.

## 216 **12. New Position for Transit Planning Services for DCHC MPO**

217 Felix Nwoko, LPA Staff

The Durham and Orange County Transit Plans, adopted in April 2017, include an expectation that each county would create a Staff Working Group (SWG) to develop Annual Transit Work Plans and review quarterly progress reports on the Durham-Orange Light Rail Transit and other transit projects and services. Furthermore, the plans envision and fund an administrator to provide services to support the SWGs. Subsequent discussions between DCHC MPO, GoTriangle, and Triangle J Council of

223	Governments (TJCOG) have determined that a position should be housed within the DCHC MPO to serve
224	these functions. The position would also perform transit planning and other duties for the DCHC MPO in
225	addition to serving the SWGs.

226 Geoff Green pointed out that the planner would not be creating the annual work plans, but coordinating their creation. Felix Nwoko confirmed that this was the case and that this would be 227 228 reflected in the job description when the job is advertised. Craig Benedict noted that in some instances, 229 the description of the position indicates that the planner would serve SWGs (plural), while in others it 230 states that the position would serve a SWG (singular). He asked that the language in the description for the position be made consistent. Chair Ellen Beckmann and Felix Nwoko discussed how the positon 231 232 would be funded. Felix Nwoko confirmed that the position was a permanent one in response to an inquiry from Cara Coppola. Craig Benedict suggested that there should be some discussion of how the 233 234 position would be evaluated given that it is a joint position. 235 Kumar Neppalli made a motion to recommend to the MPO Board that the position of transit planner to support the recently adopted Durham and Orange County Transit Plans be approved. Vice 236 237 Chair Margaret Hauth seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously. 238 **REPORTS:** 239 13. Reports from the LPA Staff Felix Nwoko, LPA Staff 240 241 Felix Nwoko provided updates on the US 15-50 and the NC 54 West corridor studies. He also 242 noted that the Intelligent Transportation Systems project would be kicking off shortly.

243 Geoff Green and Felix Nwoko discussed the status of the toll study. Cara Coppola and Felix

- Nwoko discussed the termini of the US 15-501 study. Felix Nwoko confirmed that the staff reports
- would be updated to reflect these studies in response to an inquiry from Chair Ellen Beckmann.
- 246 14. Report from the DCHC MPO TC Chair
- 247 Ellen Beckmann, DCHC MPO TC Chair

Chair Ellen Beckmann stated that there was a recent meeting to discuss adding a roundabout at Hope Valley Road and University Drive. In response to a question from Laura Woods, Chair Ellen Beckmann confirmed that there is room for the roundabout and that no structures would be impacted by the roundabout.

## 252 15. NCDOT Reports

Richard Hancock, NCDOT Division 5, provided details for a public meeting that would be held to discuss newly proposed roadway closures related to the East End Connector project. Chair Ellen Beckmann and Richard Hancock discussed the proposed length of the closures. Richard Hancock also discussed upcoming closures on Angier Avenue and NC 147. He also stated that the pouring of the deck of the new bridge over NC 147 at Alston Avenue was about to occur and that traffic would be moved over to the bridge shortly. Richard Hancock stated that the Division was having trouble mobilizing the contractor for the Barbee/Herndon roundabout and that there would likely be delays with the project.

260 Pat Wilson, NCDOT Division 7, provided an update on the project that would be eliminating the left lane on I-40 West near the US 15-501 interchange. Chair Ellen Beckmann and Pat Wilson discussed the 261 Division's request to the Durham City Council for funding for the I-40 overlay. Andy Henry and Pat Wilson 262 discussed whether studies are conducted after superstreets and roundabouts are implemented. Chair 263 264 Ellen Beckmann and Pat Wilson discussed whether the I-40 project was a safety project or whether it was 265 meant to help with congestion. Pat Wilson stated that funding requests would not be made to the Orange County Board of Commissioners because the project is mostly in Durham in response to a question from 266 Chair Ellen Beckmann. 267

268 Brian Kluchar, NCDOT Division 8, provided updates on two projects, pavement markings on O'Kelly 269 Chapel Road and intersection improvements at New Hope Church Road. Brian Kluchar confirmed that the 270 New Hope Church Road project does not extend to the Wake County line in response to a question from 271 Cara Coppola.

- 272 Julie Bogle, NCDOT Transportation Planning Branch (TPB), stated that the Comprehensive
- 273 Transportation Plan (CTP) would be adopted at the upcoming NCDOT Board of Transportation meeting.
- 274 She added that there was an ongoing effort to update the CTP process and that NCDOT TPB was
- requesting feedback from MPOs, RPOs, and other interested parties by August 11, 2017.
- 276 There was no report from NCDOT Traffic Operations.
- 277 <u>INFORMATIONAL ITEMS:</u>
   278 <u>16. Recent News, Articles, and Updates</u>
   279 There were no informational items.
   280 <u>ADJOURNMENT:</u>
   281 There being no further business before the DCHC MPO Technical Committee, the meeting was
   282 adjourned at 10:46 a.m.