# Triangle Strategic Tolling Study Update

Durham-Chapel Hill-Carrboro MPO

Executive Board

June 12, 2019

HIVING

DCHC

86

87

Sanford

PERSON COUNTY ORANGE COUNTY

**67** 

oorough

85

40

COUNTY

157

1

CHATHAM COUNTY

42

15

Durham 🐼

COUN

ORANGE (

158

PER

COUNTY E COUNTY

DURHAM C

85

Morrisville

Apex

540

Holly Springs

Fuquay-Varina

WAKE COUNTY HARNETT COUNTY Angist

98

Cary 40

Stem

Oxford

96

56

GRANVILLE COUNTY

Creedmoor

540

440 Raleigh

Garner

+ WARE COUNT OUNT

60

60

Technical Committee 7/24/2019 Item 7

Kittrell

Franklinton

Rolesville

Youngsville

Wake Forest

60

**9**0

•

Wende

••

### Study Background

The Triangle Region is growing rapidly and to stay competitive with other regions, a study was conducted to:

Evaluate the regional transportation network

Determine if express toll lanes may be beneficial to the Triangle Region

Use study findings in project development process for MTP updates



### **Study Overview**

The study began in June 2017

Stakeholder engagement has included:

Four Core Technical Team (CTT) Meetings


23 Stakeholders Interviewed Three Stakeholder Oversight Team (SOT) Meetings

DCHC MPO staff attended CTT & SOT meetings

Study briefings at joint DCHC MPO & CAMPO Board meetings in October 2018 and May 2019

TRIANGLE STRATEGIC TOLLING STUDY

Page 3 of 24



### **Study Sponsors**

This study was a collaborative effort of:

Capital Area MPO Durham-Chapel Hill-Carrboro MPO

4

NCDOT

TRIANGLE STRATEGIC TOLLING STUDY

Technical Committee 7/24/2019 Item 7

Page 4 of 24

### Toll Road vs. Express Toll Lanes



- Everyone pays a toll to use the facility
- Route-based Choice: option to use the Toll Road or use a different non-toll facility



- Only Express Toll Lane users pay a toll
- Lane-based Choice: option to use the Express Toll Lanes or use the tollfree general purpose lanes



## Benefits of Tolling & Express Lanes


**Toll Roads** and **Express Toll Lanes** provide higher travel speeds, lower and consistent travel times, and a higher quality of trip than toll-free general purpose lanes ...

... as evidenced by 44 variably priced facilities in operation and 14 under construction in 11 states & Canada



6

Technical Committee 7/24/2019 Item 7



Technical Committee 7/24/2019 Item 7

#### TRIANGLE STRATEGIC TOLLING STUDY

Page 7 of 24


## **Corridor Screening**

- Estimated 2045 peak-period congestion levels and speeds using Triangle Regional Model
- Examined current PM peak hour congestion using Google
- Used Triangle Regional Model to generate demand volumes for projected express toll lane network (assuming 2045 Metropolitan Transportation Plan build-out)
- Applied ECONorthwest's Toll Optimization Model<sup>©</sup> using regional model outputs to test future performance of express toll lane facilities



8

### **Initial Corridors**




TRIANGLE STRATEGIC TOLLING STUDY Page 9 of 24

9

#### Technical Committee 7/24/2019 Item 7

Technical Committee 7/24/2019 Item 7

### **Corridors for Detailed Evaluation**



#### TRIANGLE STRATEGIC TOLLING STUDY

Page 10 of 24

### **Detailed Corridor Evaluation**

- Evaluated seven corridors & divided I-40 into 3 segments
- Analyzed express lane performance using seven factors:
  - Projected revenue collection
  - Travel time savings
  - Trip dependability
  - Construction costs
  - Transit supportive
  - Impacts on low income residents
  - Access to jobs



11

I I ING STUDY


12

### **Projected Revenue Collection**

Forecasted by ECONorthwest's Toll Optimization Model<sup>©</sup>

- Has been in use for over 20 years
- Reflect prices at various times & under different circumstances
- Supplied with TRM demand forecasts to test future performance of toll facilities
- Revenue assumptions are:
  - Future year of 2045
  - All express lane users pay
  - Buses & vanpools use the express lane for free

### 2045 Projected Annual Revenue Collection/Mile



### TRIANGLE STRATEGIC TOLLING STUDY

13

Page 13 of 24

### **Projected Travel Time Savings**

- Difference between travel times in the general purpose & express lanes along the same corridor
- Estimated by Toll Optimization Model<sup>©</sup> using Triangle Regional Model inputs
- Projected travel time savings of half-minute per mile along longer corridors for express lanes



14

#### Technical Committee 7/24/2019 Item 7

### Trip Dependability

- Used FHWA's Buffer Time measure
- Buffer time is extra time allowed to ensure on-time arrival during times of high traffic.
  - Trip to work when being late could mean job loss
  - Trip to airport when being late means a missed flight
  - Trip to daycare when being late incurs a penalty
- Express lanes have lower buffer times than general purpose lanes (more travel time certainty)

#### TRIANGLE STRATEGIC TOLLING STUDY

15

Page 15 of 24

### **Cost Estimate Assumptions**

- "Constrained" Typical Section (lower cost)
  - Fit within existing typical section
  - May include Design Exceptions for lane and shoulder width and sight distance
  - Minimal buffer area
  - Shoulder use (if applicable)
- "Full Feature" Typical Section (higher cost)
  - Preferred dimensions with minimal Design Exceptions
  - Increases footprint of roadway
  - Higher likelihood of bridge and interchange reconstruction
- Estimates exclude Direct Connects






16

TRIANGLE STRATEGIC TOLLING STUDY Page 16 of 24

Technical Committee 7/24/2019 Item 7

## **Transit Supportive**


- Used Triangle Regional Model
  2045 transit routes
- Identified transit routes using a significant portion of the corridor
- Identified peak and off-peak hours of operation and frequency
- Calculated number of buses in peak, off-peak, and daily



#### TRIANGLE STRATEGIC TOLLING STUDY

Page 17 of 24

### Estimating Impact of Travel Time Change on Low Income Populations



► Travel time differences of less than five minutes were ignored

Effective magnitude was calculated by multiplying travel time impact by low income work trips

Results were grouped by origin locations to get the total low income work trips affected and the aggregated travel time impact per zone


The areas with highest aggregated travel time impact are shown in green with lighter shades denoting lesser impact

#### TRIANGLE STRATEGIC TOLLING STUDY


Page 18 of 24

### Estimating Impact of Travel Time Change on Low Income Populations

Selma / Smithfield



Difference X HBW Trip 0.00 to 10.00 11.00 to 50.00



Approximately 1000 out of 96,000 (~1%) low income home-based work trips had greater than 5 minute travel time impact daily

Average impact per trip is about 8 minutes


► The TAZs with the highest travel time impact are concentrated around NE Raleigh, North and East Durham, South Hillsborough and Selma-Smithfield area.


#### TRIANGLE STRATEGIC TOLLING STUDY

Page 19 of 24

### TRIANGLE STRATEGIC TOLLING STUDY

#### I-40: NC 54- NC 147






TRIANGLE STRATEGIC TOLLING STUDY

Technical Committee 7/24/2019 Item 7

Page 20 of 24

#### TRIANGLE STRATEGIC TOLLING STUDY

US-70



DCHC


Technical Committee 7/24/2019 Item 7

#### TRIANGLE STRATEGIC TOLLING STUDY

Page 21 of 24

### TRIANGLE STRATEGIC TOLLING STUDY

NC 147



DCHC

Technical Committee 7/24/2019 Item 7

#### TRIANGLE STRATEGIC TOLLING STUDY

Page 22 of 24


### Updating Partners & Stakeholder Groups

- Closing the Loop on Study Outcomes (May & June)
- Presentations to date:
  - NCTA Board of Directors (May 2<sup>nd</sup>)
  - NCDOT/NCTA/FHWA Staff Leadership (May 16<sup>th</sup>)
  - MPO Boards Joint CAMPO & DCHC MPO Meeting (May 29th)
- Upcoming Presentations:
  - CAMPO Executive Board (June 19<sup>th</sup>)
  - NCDOT Board of Transportation (Local Members)
  - NCDOT Local Divisions Staff & Others
  - WakeUP Wake County
  - Regional Transportation Alliance

TRIANGLE STRATEGIC TOLLING STUDY

23

### More Information?



- http://triangletollingstudy.com
- ► Andy Henry, AICP

Andrew.Henry@durhamnc.gov

(919) 560-4366, ext. 36419

- ► Kenneth Withrow, AICP
  - Kenneth.Withrow@campo-nc.us
  - (919) 996-4394
- ► Lynn Purnell, PE, ENV SP
  - Lynn.Purnell@wsp.com
  - (704) 342-5405



24

Technical Committee 7/24/2019 Item 7