## DURHAM-CHAPEL HILL-CARRBORO METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION (DCHC MPO)

## RESOLUTION SUPPORTING TARGETS FOR TRANSIT ASSET MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE MEASURES

A motion was made by MPO Board memb	er _			and seco	onded by M	IΡO
Board member	for	the adoption	of the	following	resolution;	and
upon being put to a vote, was duly adopted.						

WHEREAS, the Durham-Chapel Hill-Carrboro Metropolitan Planning Organization (DCHC MPO) has been designated by the Governor of the State of North Carolina as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) responsible, together with the State, for the comprehensive, continuing, and cooperative transportation planning process for the MPO's metropolitan planning area; and

WHEREAS, the FAST Act continued the implementation of performance based planning and programming to achieve desired performance outcomes for the multimodal transportation system, including the setting of targets for future performance by States, providers of public transportation, and metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs); and

**WHEREAS,** the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) issued a final rule on transit asset management to establish a system to monitor and manage public transportation assets to improve safety and increase reliability and performance, under which providers of public transportation receiving federal funds were required to set their initial asset management targets by January 1, 2017; and

**WHEREAS,** the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the FTA issued a joint final rule on planning (Statewide and Nonmetropolitan Transportation Planning; Metropolitan Transportation Planning), under which MPOs shall establish performance targets within 180 days of a State or transit provider setting targets; and

**WHEREAS,** the transit agencies or jurisdictions operating public transportation in the MPO's planning area have developed information and targets toward compliance with the law and regulation and have communicated their current targets for transit asset management to the MPO; and

**WHEREAS,** 49 CFR Part 625, the FTA Transit Asset Management Rule, which became effective on October 1, 2016, requires transit operators to develop and adopt a Transit Asset Management Plan that addresses State of Good Repair for rolling stock, infrastructure, equipment, and facilities.

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED,** that the MPO's Board supports the GoTriangle, GoDurham and Chapel Hill Transit targets and agrees to plan and program projects that contribute toward the accomplishment of the transit agency's targets as noted in the attached table called "2019 TAM Targets for DCHC MPO."

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,** that by approval of this resolution an amendment is hereby made to the 2045 Metropolitan Transportation Plan adopted on March 14, 2018 by the DCHC MPO.

(continued)

(Continued – Resoluti	on Adopting TAM Targets)
	Damon Seils, DCHC MPO Board Chair
Durham County, Nor	th Carolina
I certify that Damon 3 he signed the forgoin	Seils personally appeared before me this day acknowledging to me that g document.
Date: November 14, 2	2018
	Frederick Brian Rhodes, Notary Public
	My commission expires: May 10, 2020

## **2019 TAM Targets for DCHC MPO**

(November 14, 2018)

		GoDurham:		Chapel Hill Transit:		GoTriangle:	
Asset Category - Performance Measure	Asset Class	Useful Life Benchmark	2019 Target	Useful Life Benchmark	2019 Target	Useful Life Benchmark	2019 Target
REVENUE VEHICLES							
Age % of revenue vehicles within a particular asset class that have met or exceeded their Useful Life Benchmark	AO - Automobile	8	N/A	8	20%	8	13%
	BU - Bus	14	18%	14	10%	14	13%
	CU - Cutaway Bus	10	55%	10	20%	10	13%
	MB - Mini-bus	10	N/A	10	20%	10	13%
	MV - Mini-van	8	0%	8	20%	8	13%
	SV - Sport Utility Vehicle	8	N/A	8	20%	8	13%
(ULB)	VN - Van	8	N/A	8	20%	8	13%
	Other	N/A	N/A	8	20%	8	13%
EQUIPMENT							
Age % of vehicles that have met or exceeded their Useful Life Benchmark (ULB)	Non Revenue/Service	8	0%	8	20%	8	22%
	Automobile	_		_			
	Steel Wheel Vehicles	8	N/A	8	20%	8	22%
	Trucks and other Rubber Tire Vehicles	8	0%	8	20%	8	22%
	Maintenance Equipment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	22%
	Computer Software	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	22%
	Custom 1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	TBD	22%
FACILITIES				•			•
	Administration	(no benchmark)	0%	(no benchmark)	0%	(no benchmark)	0%
Condition % of facilities with	Maintenance	(no benchmark)	0%	(no benchmark)	0%	(no benchmark)	0%
a condition rating below 3.0	Parking Structures	(no benchmark)	N/A	(no benchmark)	0%	(no benchmark)	0%
on the FTA Transit Economic	Passenger Facilities	(no benchmark)	0%	(no benchmark)	N/A	(no benchmark)	0%
Requirements Model (TERM)	Shelter	(no benchmark)	50%	(no benchmark)	0%	(no benchmark)	0%
Scale	Storage	(no benchmark)	0%	(no benchmark)	N/A	(no benchmark)	0%
	Custom 1	(no benchmark)	N/A	(no benchmark)	N/A	(no benchmark)	0%

Notes: \* Facilities do not have a Useful Life Benchmark such as "years." The TERM scale is used instead of years.

<sup>\*</sup> TERM scale example: 5 = excellent, 1 = poor

<sup>\*</sup> Usefule Life Benchmark values are in years.

<sup>\*</sup> N/A: System does not have asset in this class that requires monitoring.