## DURHAM-CHAPEL HILL-CARRBORO METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION (DCHC MPO)

## RESOLUTION SUPPORTING TARGETS FOR TRANSIT ASSET MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE MEASURES

A motion was made by MPO Board memb	er			and sec	onded by M	ſΡΟ
Board member	for the	e adoption	of the	following	resolution;	and
upon being put to a vote, was duly adopted.						

WHEREAS, the Durham-Chapel Hill-Carrboro Metropolitan Planning Organization (DCHC MPO) has been designated by the Governor of the State of North Carolina as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) responsible, together with the State, for the comprehensive, continuing, and cooperative transportation planning process for the MPO's metropolitan planning area; and

WHEREAS, the FAST Act continued the implementation of performance based planning and programming to achieve desired performance outcomes for the multimodal transportation system, including the setting of targets for future performance by States, providers of public transportation, and metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs); and

**WHEREAS,** the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) issued a final rule on transit asset management to establish a system to monitor and manage public transportation assets to improve safety and increase reliability and performance, under which providers of public transportation receiving federal funds were required to set their initial asset management targets by January 1, 2017; and

**WHEREAS,** the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the FTA issued a joint final rule on planning (Statewide and Nonmetropolitan Transportation Planning; Metropolitan Transportation Planning), under which MPOs shall establish performance targets within 180 days of a State or transit provider setting targets; and

**WHEREAS,** the transit agencies or jurisdictions operating public transportation in the MPO's planning area have developed information and targets toward compliance with the law and regulation and have communicated their current targets for transit asset management to the MPO; and

**WHEREAS,** 49 CFR Part 625, the FTA Transit Asset Management Rule, which became effective on October 1, 2016, requires transit operators to develop and adopt a Transit Asset Management Plan that addresses State of Good Repair for rolling stock, infrastructure, equipment, and facilities.

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED,** that the MPO's Board supports the GoTriangle, GoDurham and Chapel Hill Transit targets and agrees to plan and program projects that contribute toward the accomplishment of the transit agency's targets as noted in the attached table called "TAM Targets for DCHC MPO."

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,** that the DCHC MPO's 2045 Metropolitan Transportation Plan references this resolution to incorporate these targets into the 2045 MTP. (continued)

(Continued – Resolutio	on Adopting TAM Targets)
	Damon Seils, DCHC MPO Board Chair  n County, North Carolina  y that Damon Seils personally appeared before me this day acknowledging to me that ed the forgoing document.  November 14, 2018
Durham County, Nort	h Carolina
•	1 , 11
Date: November 14, 2	018
	Frederick Brian Rhodes, Notary Public
	My commission expires: May 10, 2020

Note: Some of these values are still being worked out and thus are likely to change for the Technical Committee meeting.

## **TAM Targets for DCHC MPO**

(November 14, 2018)

		GoDurham:		Chapel Hill Tran	Chapel Hill Transit:		GoTriangle:	
Asset Category - Performance Measure	Asset Class	Useful Life Benchmark	2019 Target	Useful Life Benchmark	2019 Target	Useful Life Benchmark	2019 Target	
REVENUE VEHICLES				•	•	-		
Age % of revenue vehicles within a particular asset class that have met or exceeded their Useful Life Benchmark (ULB)	AO - Automobile	0	N/A	8	20%	8	13%	
	BU - Bus (61)	14	18%	14	10%	14	13%	
	CU - Cutaway Bus (47)	32	55%	10	20%	10	13%	
	MB - Mini-bus	0	N/A	10	20%	10	13%	
	MV - Mini-van (3)	0	0%	8	20%	8	13%	
	SV - Sport Utility Vehicle	0	N/A	8	20%	8	13%	
	VN - Van	0	N/A	8	20%	8	13%	
	Other	N/A	N/A	8	20%	8	13%	
EQUIPMENT								
Age % of vehicles that have met or exceeded their Useful Life Benchmark (ULB)	Non Revenue/Service Automobile (9 Ope+3 Adm)	2	0%	8	20%	8	22%	
	Steel Wheel Vehicles	0	N/A	8	20%	8	22%	
	Trucks and other Rubber Tire Vehicles (6)	1	0%	8	20%	8	22%	
	Maintenance Equipment	5	50%	TBD	20%	TBD	22%	
	Computer Software	5	50%	TBD	20%	TBD	22%	
	Custom 1	(no custom assets)	N/A	TBD	20%	TBD	22%	
FACILITIES		<u> </u>		•	-	•	-	
	Administration	(no benchmark)	100%	(no benchmark)	20%	(no benchmark)	100%	
Condition % of facilities with	Maintenance	(no benchmark)	100%	(no benchmark)	20%	(no benchmark)	100%	
a condition rating above 3.0 on	Parking Structures	(no benchmark)	N/A	(no benchmark)	20%	(no benchmark)	100%	
	Passenger Facilities	(no benchmark)	100%	(no benchmark)	20%	(no benchmark)	100%	
Requirements Model (TERM)	Shelter	(no benchmark)	50%	(no benchmark)	20%	(no benchmark)	100%	
Scale	Storage	(no benchmark)	100%	(no benchmark)	20%	(no benchmark)	100%	
	Custom 1	(no benchmark)	N/A	(no benchmark)	20%	(no benchmark)	100%	

**Notes:** Facilities do not have a Useful Life Benchmark such as "years." The TERM scale is used instead of years. Usefull Life Benchmark values are in years.