1	DURHAM-CHAPEL HILL-CARRBORO METROPOL	ITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
2	TECHNICAL COMM	ITTEE
3	Date 7/27/16	
4		
5	MINUTES OF MEET	ſING
6		
7	The Durham-Chapel Hill-Carrboro Metropolitan Plan	
8	met on July 27, 2016 at 9:00 a.m. in the City Council	Committee Room, located on the second
9	floor of Durham City Hall. The following people were	e in attendance:
10		
11	David Bonk (TC Chair)	Chapel Hill Planning
12	Ellen Beckmann (TC Vice Chair)	City of Durham Transportation
13	Kumar Neppalli (Member)	Chapel Hill Engineering
14	Pierre Osei-Owusu	City of Durham
15	Tasha Johnson (Member)	City of Durham Public Works
16	Hannah Jacobson (Member)	City of Durham Planning
17	Tasha Johnson (Member)	City of Durham Public Works
18	Tina Moon (Member)	Carrboro Planning
19	Bergen Watterson (Member)	Carrboro Planning
20	Cara Coppola (Member)	Chatham County Planning
21	Laura Woods (Member)	Durham County Planning
22	Linda Thomas Wallace (Member)	Durham County Planning
23	Scott Whiteman (Member)	Durham County Planning
24	Tom Altieri (Member)	Orange County Planning
25	Max Bushell (Member)	Orange County Planning
26	John Hodges-Copple (Member)	Triangle J Council of Governments
27	Patrick McDonough (Member)	GoTriangle
28	Kelly Becker (Member)	NCDOT, Traffic Operations
29	Julie Bollinger (Member)	NCDOT, TPB
30	Kurt Stolka	The University of North Carolina
31	Geoff Green (Alternate)	GoTriangle
32	Kayla Seibel (Alternate)	Chapel Hill Planning
33	Patricia McGuire (Alternate) David Keilson (Alternate)	Carrboro Planning
34 25	Eddie Dancausse	NCDOT, Division 5 FHWA
35		GoTriangle, General Counsel
36	Shelley Blake	RDUAA
37	Ellis Clayton Ann Stroobank	KERR-TAR RPO
38	Dale McKeel	City of Durham/DCHC MPO
39 40	Felix Nwoko	DCHC MPO
40		DCHC MPO
41 42	Andy Henry Meg Scully	DCHC MPO
42 43	Lindsay Smart	DCHC MPO
43 44	Brian Rhodes	DCHC MPO
44 45	Solanda Adkins	City of Durham
40		

46	Bryan Poole	City of Durham
47 48	Quorum Count: 21 of 31 Voting	Members
49 50		
51	Chair David Bonk called the meet	ing to order at 9:01 a.m. A roll call was performed. The Voting
52	Members and Alternate Voting Members	of the DCHC MPO Technical Committee (TC) were identified and
53	are indicated above. Chair David Bonk rer	ninded everyone to sign in using the sign in sheet that was being
54	circulated.	
55		
56		PRELIMINARIES:
57	Adjustments to the Agenda	
58	Chair David Bonk asked if there w	ere any adjustments to the agenda. Lindsay Smart stated there is
59	one. Dale McKeel will discuss the refocusi	ng and grants. Chair David Bonk stated the adjustments will be
60	made based on the comments received.	chair David Bonk asked if there were any additional adjustments
61	to the Agenda. There were none. Chair Da	avid Bonk asked for a motion to be made to approve the revised
62	agenda. Patrick McDonough made a moti	on to approve the revised agenda and Max Bushell seconded the
63	motion. The motion carried unanimously.	
64		
65	Public Comments	
66	Chair David Bonk asked if there w	ere any members of the public signed up to speak. There were
67	no members of the public signed up to sp	eak during the meeting.
68		CONSENT AGENDA:
69	5. Approval of June 22, 2016 Meeting M	inutes
70	Chair David Bonk asked if there w	as any discussion on the June 22, 2016 meeting minutes. Lindsay
71	Smart stated there were a couple of mind	r adjustments. There were no other comments or discussions
72	regarding the minutes. Chair David Bonk a	asked for a motion to be made to approve the June 22, 2016

- meeting minutes. Patrick McDonough made a motion to approve the June 22, 2016 minutes and Max
 Bushell seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.
- 75
- 76

ACTION ITEMS:

77 6. Wake County Transit Plan

78 Patrick McDonough, Go Triangle

The Wake County Transit Plan was approved by the Capital Area Metropolitan Planning 79 80 Organization (CAMPO) on May 18, 2016 and by GoTriangle on May 25, 2016. Wake County is requesting that the DCHC MPO Board and Burlington-Graham MPO; 1) Approve the Wake County Transit Plan, 81 82 specifically the financial plan as required by the Local Government Sales Tax Act (N.S.G.S. 105-508, et seg;) 83 and 2) Approve and execute the attached Agreement between GoTriangle, Durham County, Orange 84 County, Wake County, CAMPO, DCHC MPO, and Burlington-Graham MPO setting forth the mutual understanding of the parties. 85 Patrick McDonough noted the items were prepared by the Wake County Manager, Jim Hartmon. It 86 talks about the steps that are needed to take place on the regulatory side so if the referendum is approved 87 88 things can go forward. Patrick McDonough stated two of our three core counties are operating with an absence sales tax 89 in Durham Orange County. When these counties turned on the revenues, a western triangle district was 90 91 created. In 2009 when the North Carolina General Assembly created the law, it allowed these 92 referendum/ballots to go forward. Patrick McDonough stated there was a question about how many counties would need to join together to form a whole district within the Triangle or within the Triad. 93 94 Guilford and Forsyth had implications and Wake, Durham and Orange also had implications. The concern was if there were multiple counties in a region forming separate tax districts, that there may not be 95 coordinated planning. Within the legislation it said if one county went ahead by itself, that that county 96 97 could be a standalone tax district, but when a second would come forward, two would have to join and

any subsequent counties around that would petition the general assembly to join, and join into a single tax
 district. This item proposes to address the Western Triangle Tax District Partners which includes Durham,

100 Orange County, and identify the Western Triangle Tax District will be amended to become the Triangle Tax

101 District adding Wake County to join Durham and Orange within that combined Tax District under House

102 Bill 148 from the 2009 SEG Session.

103 Patrick McDonough noted although the counties will be joined, all monies will be tracked

separately and detailed as requested by both County Managers. Patrick McDonough added Shelley Blake is

105 in the meeting if anyone had any legal questions.

106John Hodges-Copple stated the Western Tax Division will go away and the Triangle Tax District will

107 be the new entity; they won't be separate tax districts. Shelley Blake stated yes. John Hodges-Copple

noted it looks like it references the Durham Orange Transit plan and asked if there was a Durham Orange

109 Transit plan or do we still have separate County Transit plans.

Shelley Blake stated nothing will change as far as the Transit plans that are in place for Durham Orange County. John Hodges-Copple stated on page 5 in the article II definitions it says "Durham Orange Transit plan shall mean the plan adopted by Durham County, Orange County, and GoTriangle currently administered"; is that something different.

114 Linda Thomas Wallace stated we do have a copy, and it's an old copy. It's a Durham and Orange

115 County Transit Plan, and the date on it is March 26, 2013. Patrick McDonough asked if it was the

116 implementation agreement between the two counties. Linda Thomas Wallace said she wasn't sure.

John Hodges-Copple stated when it gets to the MPO Board, it may be best to provide them with a visual by spelling everything out, and providing them with a status of what the individual counties mean or doesn't mean, and that someone is cross checking the things that are defined in the document and

120 whether they match whatever is legal in print. Patrick McDonough stated that Linda Thomas Wallace may

121 be more in line with what needs to be done and they would check before they get to the MPO Board.

Patrick McDonough stated he may reach out to Linda Thomas Wallace to get a copy of what she has tomake sure all loose ends are tied up.

Chair David Bonk mentioned Wake County is recommending a plan, and going forward with a referendum in the fall; does this action have to take place before the adoption in November? Shelley Blake stated yes, this allows the tax district to expand and allow all the counties into the tax district. Chair David Bonk asked from a timing standpoint if this action takes place between now and November and even post adoption of the referendum in theory. Shelley Black stated yes in theory.

Chair David Bonk noted the way the actions to be taken are written causes some concern. Neither 129 130 this body nor the MPO Board has been given details on the Wake County plan. The TC has heard about it, but for the TC to take an action that will be construed as an endorsement is more than we can do right 131 now. Shelley Blake stated the wording may be off and apologized for not having copies of the documents 132 133 to present to the TC. Chair David Bonk suggested that a presentation on the plan at the next meeting that 134 would give the TC a better level of comfort in making a recommendation to the MPO Board. Patrick McDonough expressed that they could get the staff from Wake County and or NCAMPO to assist with the 135 136 presentation. The TC discussed the possibility of creating a subcommittee, and also have the subcommittee report back for the August TC meeting with a recommendation in order to present to the 137 138 MPO Board in September.

John Hodges-Copple commented that it's important that we are timely and clear on this. The complex language should be made clear to the MPO Board. David Altieri stated the MPO Board may be interested in how the Wake County addresses state funding as it relates to capital. Patrick McDonough stated it doesn't have state funding. David Altieri added that's why it's an important point to make. There was continued discussion on the Wake County Transit plan language. Chair David Bonk made a motion that based on the discussion Felix Nwoko will talk to the NCAMPO Wake County group to discuss the sense of urgency for this to be considered by the MPO Board in August. A subcommittee will be created and will

146 provide feedback to the TC in August and provide a recommendation. Chair David Bonk asked for a motion

147 to move forward. David Altieri made a motion, and Linda Thomas Wallace seconded the motion. The

148 motion carried unanimously.

Lindsay Smart requested the TC tentatively put a meeting on the calendar in case there is a sense of urgency and a subcommittee needs to take place prior to the of DB suggested a Separate meeting. A meeting was scheduled Friday, August 5th at 11:00 a.m.

152 <u>7. 5307/5339 Revised Split Letters</u> 153 Meg Scully, LPA Staff

NCDOT-Public Transportation Division (PTD) is requesting that the MPO revise the FY2015 and
 FY2016 5307/5339 Split Letters originally approved by the MPO Board to include a statement indicating
 that PTD will manage Orange Public Transportation's (OPT) 5307/5339 grants.

157 Meg Scully stated OPT and NCDOT Public Transportation and FTA discussed the opportunity for NCDOT PTD to provide oversight for 5307 and 5311 for OPT. In order for NCDOT to manage the grants they 158 need the split letter to be amended to specifically state the funds will be approved for NCDOT PTD for 159 Orange Public Transit. This allows NCDOT to gain approval from FTA to enter into agreement and enter the 160 161 grants into the system and manage them. Meg Scully explained the state already provides oversight for 5311 funds and OPT will not be open to triannual reviews as the other fixed route direct recipient 162 operators are. They will be open to the NCDOT's oversight of the 5307 and 5311 funds. David Altieri 163 164 suggested adding that OPT did seek out the assistance of others before the State agreed to do this for OPT. 165 Meg Scully added this is a request that the TC approve the revised split letter. Chair David Bonk stated the TC is being asked to take is recommend that the MPO Board approve the split letters requested by PTD 166 and asked for a motion to be made. Max Bushell made a motion to approve the split letters and Pierre 167 Osei-Owusu seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously. 168

169 8. Grant Reporting Due Dates

170 Meg Scully, LPA Staff

- There was a review of grant reporting due dates for FY2017. Meg Scully stated this is 171 informational. Meg Scully reviewed due dates on grants 5307, 5303, 5339 and human services grants. No 172 action was required. Everyone was asked to keep on top of their due dates. 173 174 9. Revised Amendment #2 to the FY2016-2025 TIP Lindsay Smart, LPA Staff 175 During the DCHC MPO Board meeting on February 10, 2016 the Board reviewed draft amendment 176 #2, and released it for the public review and comment period. The DCHC MPO Board held a public hearing 177 178 for draft amendment #2 on April 13, 2016. The draft amendment has been out for public comment since
- 179 February 10, 2016. To date, no public comments have been received. The draft amendment has been
- advertised in the Triangle Tribune and the Herald Sun. The draft amendment has been posted to the DCHC
- 181 MPO website and circulated via MPO email listservs.

Lindsay Smart referenced material for the Durham Orange Light Rail Project which was included as part of Amendment 2. Lindsay Smart noted Amendment 2 was reviewed by the TC in the winter.

184 Amendment 2 includes the Durham Orange Light Rail and several other state projects. The State took

action on the DOT STIP in January to respond to the State budged that was passed last fall. That budget has

186 been changed again. The NCDOT will need to amend their STIP to reflect the State budget that passed in

187 July. The MPO Board has had the amendment out for public review since February. The MPO received

188 comments from the NCDOT and the TC members. Lindsay Smart stated the TC has the revised version of

189 Draft Amendment 2. The changes are only related to the Durham Orange Light Rail Project. The changes

190 made to the project are Amendment 2 proposed to defund the Durham Orange Light Rail Project after

191 2016. Lindsay Smart explained the requirement of the State budget indicates no more than 10% of the

192 total project cost can come from State funding, and no State funding can be put on this project or any light

rail project in the state until that project goes through P5.0. P5.0 starts in 2017, so there is time before

194 State funding is available to be put on this project.

195 There was continued discussion regarding the funding and cap amounts in the handout. Chair

196 David Bonk stated there are a lot of unanswered questions about the numbers and how with the State

197 funding being limited and revisions on the Light Rail costs based on inflation and changes to the program.

198 A motion was made by John Hodges-Copple and seconded by Tom Altieri to share the Amendment

- 199 proposal with the State (NCDOT), get their feedback, and have dialogue with Keith Melton (FTA), and the
- 200 local FHWA office about some of the assumptions.

201 <u>10. Training for Locally Managed Federal Projects</u> 202 Lindsay Smart, LPA Staff

203 During the July MPO Technical Committee (TC) meeting, the TC members will provide lists of local

204 project managers that should be invited to attend the locally managed projects training. Two training

agenda options will be presented and discussed.

Lindsay Smart presented a draft outline for the Training for Locally Managed Federal Projects. She indicated that there were two training sessions; an overview and project implementation in the morning and the afternoon session focused on small group workshops.

209 <u>11. CTP and MTP Schedules</u>210 Andy Henry, LPA Staff

210 Andy Henry, LPA Stall

211 Andy Henry provided an update on the CTP and MTP processes. Andy Henry indicated the CTP has

been submitted to the NCDOT for their internal review and will subsequently be released for public

involvement. Andy Henry reviewed the schedules for the CTP and MTP schedules. There was considerable

discussion regarding the element of both plans, the timing of bringing the CTP abduction to the MPO

215 Board and how that may affect the 2045 MTP milestones. Andy Henry further explained that the Socio-

216 Economic guide (SE) totals will be presented to the MPO Board in September for informational services. He

- 217 indicated the MPO Board is not expected to take action on the guide totals. There was considerable
- discussion amongst the TC regarding the land use / SE component of the 2045 MTP. Andy Henry indicated

219 no motion was needed from the TC. No motion was necessary. This item will be forwarded to the MPO

220 Board for an update at their September meeting.

13. Connect 2045: Update on SE Data, land use modeling and scenarios John Hodges-Copple, TJCOGCTP and MTP Schedules

- The 2045 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) will be a joint plan by the DCHC MPO and Capital Area MPO (CAMPO) as has been the case for the last two plan updates. The Triangle J Council of Government (TJCOG) has been responsible for coordinating the many activities and tasks of the two MPOs and of local government staff.
- John Hodges-Copple reviewed Connect 2045 which essentially is a land use modeling and scenario
 planning tool. The tool will form a building block for the land use input to the original model. John Hodges Copple summarized the alternatives and the scenarios. John Hodges-Copple described the framework of
- 230 the development and mobility investment foundation. He indicated that local land use planners, the two
- 231 MPOs, and Triangle J Council of Government (TJCOG), have been working collaboratively on these models.
- 232 John Hodges-Copple also indicated the TJCOG continues to reach out to local planners in the development
- of Connect 2045. The TC action is to receive and update and provide guidance on the next steps.

234 <u>11. Earmark Repurposing Request</u> 235 Dale McKeel

Dale McKeel provided an update on the Earmark Repurposing request to NCDOT by the City of Durham. Essentially, NCDOT had decided to repurpose the Durham Beltline earmark to the Alston Avenue widening project. However the City of Durham indicated that it would prefer the funds to be repurposed to the old Durham-Chapel Hill Road bicycle and pedestrian project. There was discussion centered on whether the funds should be repurposed in the first place and the broader question regarding the SPOT process and the involvement of locals in funding decision process. There is no action required and it will come forward in the MPO Board meeting in August.

243 12. Report from Staff

244 Felix Nwoko, LPA Staff

245 There was no report from the Staff.

246 **13. Report from the DCHC MPO TC Chair**

247 David Bonk, DCHC MPO TC Chair

249	related to their role in the TIP based on Federal rules, and how that role is being accommodated in the
250	current SPOT process. The TC should plan on doing something for the MPO Board in September. Chair
251	David Bonk made an announcement that the State has sent out a notice about TAP funding about to expire
252	by the end of July in the amount of \$7,000,00. They are requesting agreements from local governments to
253	do handicap ramp construction. There are other possible options for how the money can be used. Chair
254	David Bonk asked if the TC had the option to use the money, how they would use the funds.
255	
256	14. NCDOT Reports
257	David Keilson, NCDOT Division 5 noted there is a public meeting on 7/28/16 at the library on
258	Roxboro Street for the Alston Avenue project. It's only an informational meeting. Bids were recently
259	opened for that project, and Zachary Construction is the low bidder on it. Everyone is finalizing points for
260	the SDI project which is due Friday, 7/29/16.
261	Mike Mills (Pat Wilson/Ed Lewis), Division 7 – NCDOT
262	No report at this time.
263	Brandon Jones (Darius Sturdivant), Division 8 – NCDOT. Submitted paper copies stating Lystra
264	Road is now open.
265	Julie Bollinger, Transportation Planning Branch – NCDOT
266	No report at this time.
267	Kelly Becker, Traffic Operations – NCDOT
268	No report at this time.
269	INFORMATIONAL ITEMS:

270 **11. Recent News, Articles, and Updates**

- Nothing to report.
- 272 **ADJOURNMENT:**
- 273 There being no further business before the DCHC MPO Technical Committee, the meeting was
- adjourned at 11:48 a.m.