#### DURHAM-CHAPEL HILL-CARRBORO METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

# 2 TECHNICAL COMMITTEE

3 **April 26, 2023** 

1

#### 4 MINUTES OF MEETING

- 5 The Durham-Chapel Hill-Carrboro Metropolitan Planning Organization Technical Committee met
- on April 26, 2023, at 9:00 a.m. in the second floor Committee Room at Durham City Hall, as well
- 7 as through the Zoom teleconferencing platform. The following members were in attendance:
- 8 Ellen Beckmann (Vice Chairperson) **Durham County** Tina Moon (Member) Town of Carrboro 9 Tom Devlin (Member) City of Durham 10 Miles Spann (Member) City of Durham 11 Eric Vitale (Member) City of Durham 12 Tasha Johnson (Member) City of Durham 13 City of Durham Erin Convery (Alternate)\* 14
- 15 Bill Judge (Member)\* City of Durham Transportation
  16 Sarah Lang \* City of Durham-Planning
- 16 Sarah Lang \* City of Durham-Planning
  17 Aaron Cain (Member) Durham County
  18 Scott Whiteman (Member) Durham County
- 19 Bergen Watterson (Member)
  20 Josh Mayo (Member)
  21 Caroline Dwyer (Member)
  22 Matt Cecil (Alternate)\*

  Town of Chapel Hill
  Town of Chapel Hill

23 Nick Pittman\*

Town of Chapel Hill

Au Heikes (Member)

24Jay Heikes (Member)GoTriangle25Meg Scully (Alternate)\*GoTriangle26Julie Bogle (Member)NCDOT TPD

27 Matt Day (Member) TJCOG

- 28 Cha'ssam Anderson (Alternate)\* The University of North Carolina
- Stephen Robinson (Alternate)
   David Keilson (Alternate)\*
   Tracy Parrott (Alternate)
   NCDOT Division 5
   NCDOT Division 5
- 32 Jeron Monroe\* NCDOT Division 8
- Nick Morrison\*
  NCDOT Integrated Mobility Division
  DCHC MPO

34Doug PlachcinskiDCHC MPO35David MillerDCHC MPO36Dale McKeel\*DCHC MPO37Filmon FishastionDCHC MPO38Kelly FomenkoDCHC MPO

39 Colleen McGue\* DCHC MPO
40 Jean Debnam DCHC MPO

- 41 Quorum count: 18 of 27 voting members
- 42 \*Attended remotely

43	Vice Chairperson Ellen Beckmann called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.
44 45	PRELIMINARIES:  1. Roll Call
46	The roll call was completed using a sign-in sheet for in-person attendees, and the Zoom
47	participant list for remote attendees. Vice Chair, Ellen Beckmann welcomed Brandy Mire,
48	Durham County Staff.
49	2. Adjustments to the Agenda
50	Vice Chairperson, Ellen Beckmann stated that due to the lack of quorum, items requiring
51	a vote be skipped until quorum is met. The TC proceeded with Item #9.
52	The Quorum met at 9:27 a.m.
53	3. Public Comments
54	There were no public comments.
55	CONSENT AGENDA:
56 57	4. Approval of the March 22, 2023 TC Meeting Minutes David Miller, LPA Staff
58	5. FFY 2023 Section 5307/5340 Full Apportionment Split Letter David Miller, LPA Staff
59 60	6. FFY 2023 Section 5339 Full Apportionment Split Letter David Miller, LPA Staff
61 62	7. Amendment #15 to the FY 2020-2029 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Kelly Fomenko, LPA Staff
63	Aaron Cain made a motion to recommend the TC approve the Consent Agenda. Tom
64	Devlin seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.
65	ACTION ITEMS:
66 67	8. Targets for Pavement and Bridge Condition and System Performance (10 Minutes) David Miller, LPA Staff
68	David Miller presented the performance measures and targets for pavement and bridge
69	conditions and system performance adopted by NCDOT for 2023 and 2025. Mr. Miller shared

how the MPO's urbanized area would compare to these target years bason on available 2022 data.

Eric Vitale made a motion to recommend that the DCHC MPO Board adopt the resolution that supports the state's pavement and bridge condition, and system performance targets. Scott Whiteman seconded the motion. The vote passed unanimously.

#### **INFORMATIONAL ITEMS:**

# 9. SPOT P7 PROJECT CANDIDATES LIST FOR REVIEW (15 MINUTES)

Kelly Fomenko, LPA Staff

Kelly Fomenko stated that the project lists are still actively being updated and a more formal review will be shifted out to May. Members submitted their candidate projects for review and while several modes have over 50 candidate, each mode must be narrowed to only 24. Preliminary scoring from P6 is available and new candidate project still need to be scored, which will require additional details to be provided. NCDOT has added a new modernization category for rail, and LPA staff are requesting feedback on potential projects from members so that the MPO can fill all slots with projects that have better scoring opportunity, rather than pedestrian-centered projects that the MPO has submitted previously that do not score well.

Aaron Cain asked if the subcommittee meeting would be pushed out as well. Kelly answered yes.

Eric Vitale asked, regarding Transit, what was the rationale behind the move on the South Point project? Durham County answered in general, with transit there is very little funding. It's in the same bucket funded at 6 percent along with Bike Ped, Aviation, and Rail. There is not a lot of new money anticipated at the Division level.

Dale McKeel asked for clarity on what a carryover project is. Kelly Fomenko clarified it as a project for this round that is in the 2024-2033 STIP that has environmental documentation completed and includes the two carryovers the MPO has requested.

Josh Mayo asked did the carryover projects count towards the twenty-four projects?

Kelly Fomenko answered they do not count towards the twenty-four. The holding tank projects are counted. The holding tank projects are on the spreadsheet. The carryover projects are not listed. Carryover projects are not listed on the spreadsheet because they are automatically going to be scored. Carryovers and holding tank projects both have a SPOT IDs and new submittals do not.

Ellen Beckmann asked, for overall we must pick 24 from these lists and If the overall goal within the next month is to have subcommittee meeting discussions and then at the May TC meeting, we're making the list recommendations? Kelly Fomenko answered no and said there is a timeline in the agenda, and it shows that this will come back for review at the end of May. We will not actually be releasing this for public comment until July. We will have until September 29<sup>th</sup>. Ellen Beckmann asked when does this go to the MPO Board and would you bring this list or wait until we've refined it? Kelly Fomenko answered, it makes sense for us to wait and bring the list back for a review at the end of May and the Board would get them in June.

Doug Plachcinski said Hillsborough contacted to modernize their systems, so that project should be included.

Tina Moon asked if more projects can be submitted, and Kelly Fomenko said she is open to new submissions, but the refinement process is occurring, and a deadline will be provided.

Josh Mayo asked once public feedback is received, can projects be changed? Ellen Beckmann replied that project changes can happen until the Board approves the lists of projects.

#### 10. Triangle Bikeway Update (15 Minutes)

Dale McKeel, LPA Staff

Dale McKeel stated it has been a year since the Triangle Bikeway Study had been visited and he thought it would be good to give an update. Dale McKeel explained the conception of the bikeway study and gave the relation of the study to I-40. Mr. Keel provided case studies and implementation strategies, 2022-2023 highlights and the next steps and discussed the finding approved by Wake County Board of Commissioners and Research Triangle Foundation. Ellen Beckmann asked if funding was local at this time and Dale McKeel said yes.

# 11. FYI 2023-2024 Draft STIP update (5 Minutes)

# Kelly Fomenko, LPA Staff

Kelly Fomenko shared the final list of STIP projects, including those swapped that will be presented to the MPO Board in May of 2023. This would put the timeline at three months for the MPO to adopt its Transportation Improvement Plan. (TIP)

#### REPORTS FROM STAFF

#### **12. Report from Staff**

# Doug Plachcinski, AICP, CFM, DCHC MPO Executive Director

Doug Plachcinski submitted a written report and in addition he introduced Colleen

McGue as the MPO's new Planning Manager.

#### 13. Report from the Technical Committee Chair

#### Ellen Beckmann, TC Vice Chair

Chair Ellen Beckmann provided an update on our Transit Plans. The Durham Transit

Plan is going to be scheduled for the May 1st Board of Commissioners work session and the

May 8th public hearing and with approval expected later in May. Now we have the financial

revenue assumptions, and everything the same as they have been for the last three years that

might be an issue. For those who are not aware, Go Triangle Board authorized GoTriangle to

send a letter to all of us saying that the rental car tax will be removed on July 21<sup>st</sup>. We're

figuring out what to do about that but at this late stage in the Durham Transit Plan we don't have
the intention or ability to change. At this point, we've been talking about this for three years and

funding is in there and it's the same as it was recently adopted Orange County the same revenue assumptions and in Wake County also. The Work Program for the next year for both counties are clearly affected by all this. We have talked about it coming back to the staff working groups for further discussion and action before it goes then back to the Orange County Board of Commissioners who already approved it and before it goes to the Durham commissioners for recommendation for approval.

Doug Plachcinski added just add a couple things. We certainly understand that there's a debate about funding resources and how we got to this point of GoTriangle indicating that they were removing it from available public transportation funding transit plans. Regardless of that decision the work is just beginning to unwind that funding for the work program multi-year operation capital projects and then the long range transportation plan so now poised to adopt those working documents that we use to administer projects and programs we need to regroup them and understand how to show the central budget differences and presenting a budget that we know is off by a relatively significant amount of money and Durham and Onge counties that's problematic. We're asking elected officials to adopt a work plan for next year that we know in true we'll have a funding shortfall and won't be fiscally constrained so we've got to understand how to fix that the best we can. In Durham it's about a million-and-a-half-dollar difference in Orange County it's upwards of \$800,000. Nish Trivedi corrected that it is half a million 500,000 he thinks closer four or five hundred thousand.

#### 14. NCDOT Reports

## **Brandon Jones (Tracy Parrott), Division 5 – NCDOT**

Tracy Parrott provided an update on the City of Durham's Alston Avenue Project, which has been delayed due to coordination with the railroad on flagger service.

#### Wright Archer (Pat Wilson, Stephen Robinson), Division 7 – NCDOT

171	Stephen Robinson provided an update on the I-40 project widening. Stephen Robinson
172	shared that the traffic has been shifted so the median work will continue for the foreseeable
173	future.
174	Patrick Norman (Bryan Kluchar, Jeron Monroe), Division 8 - NCDOT
175	Jeron Monroe had no additional report.
176	Julie Bogle, Transportation Planning Division – NCDOT
177	Julie Bogle had no additional report.
178	John Grant, Traffic Operations – NCDOT
179	There was no additional report.
180	Nick Morrison, Integrated Mobility Division – NCDOT
181	There was no additional report. Just a reminder about the upcoming IMD webinar on
182	May 25, 2023, at 10:00 a.m.
183	15. Recent News and Articles and Updates
184	Chair Ellen Beckmann referenced the recent news articles and updates.
185	Adjourn
186	There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned by Chair Ellen Beckmann
187	at 10:24 a.m.